Hey Dan,

Just wanted to run this by you for your first thoughts.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ <szabo.aaron@eos.energy>*

Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ

Date: Tue, 01 May 2018 14:51:43 -0400

Attachment:

Talking Points for CEQ ANPRM For OMB EO 12866 Submittal.docx (19.16 kB)
Hey Aaron, minor suggestions.

Dan

Hey Dan,

Just wanted to run this by you for your first thoughts.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

CEQ075FY18150_000003872
RE: Comment from CEQ?

From: "Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ" </o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlit)/cn=recipients/cn=70576341fcb44ab780c5f4d1ca218647-sc>
To: Nick Sobczyk <nsobczyk@eenews.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2018 15:16:14 -0400

Hey Nick,

On background, attributable to a CEQ Spokesman:

On May 3rd, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) submitted a draft Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) entitled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” to the Office of Management and Budget for interagency review consistent with Executive Order 12866. After completion of interagency review, CEQ anticipates will publish the ANPRM in the Federal Register for public comment.

This ANPRM is being developed in response to Executive Order 13807 issued by President Trump on August 15, 2017. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, it has only amended its regulations once. Therefore, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to solicit public comment and consider updating the implementation regulations.

Hope that helps,

Dan

From: Nick Sobczyk <nsobczyk@eenews.net>
Sent: Monday, May 7, 2018 2:27 PM
To: Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ <djb@](6)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comment from CEQ?

Hi Dan,

Hope all is well and that you’re enjoying your new gig at the White House! I saw CEQ submitted a prerule with OMB on May 3 to update its NEPA regulations. I’m looking for a comment from CEQ on the following questions. My deadline is 3:15 pm.

Does CEQ plan to follow this up with an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking? Or are there other options available?

What are the next steps and what is the timeline looking like?

What specific changes will CEQ make to its NEPA regs? How will they affect permitting processes at other agencies?
Thanks!

Nick Sobczyk  
E&E News reporter  
nsobczyk@eenews.net  
Office: 202-446-0437  
Cell: [redacted]  
@nick_sobczyk

E&E NEWS  
122 C Street NW 7th Floor Washington, DC 20001  
>www.eenews.net< | @EENewsUpdates  
Energywire, Climatewire, Greenwire, E&E Daily, E&E News PM
Hi Dan,

Hope all is well and that you're enjoying your new gig at the White House! I saw CEQ submitted a prerule with OMB on May 3 to update its NEPA regulations. I'm looking for a comment from CEQ on the following questions. My deadline is 3:15 pm.

Does CEQ plan to follow this up with an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking? Or are there other options available?

What are the next steps and what is the timeline looking like?

What specific changes will CEQ make to its NEPA regs? How will they affect permitting processes at other agencies?

Thanks!

Nick Sobczyk
E&E News reporter
nbsobczyk@eenews.net
Office: 202-446-0437
Cell: (5) (6)
@nick_sobczyk

E&E NEWS
122 C Street NW 7th Floor Washington, DC 20001
>www.eenews.net< | @EENewsUpdates
Energywire, Climatewire, Greenwire, E&E Daily, E&E News PM
regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify
changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in
1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents
over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda
Major: Undetermined
EO 13771 Designation:
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1500 to 1508
Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None
Timetable:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Undetermined
Government Levels Affected: Undetermined
Federalism: No
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No
Agency Contact:
Ted Boling
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 395-5750

Title: • Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update
Abstract:
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act
(FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ's business process;
and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due
to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda
Major: Undetermined
EO 13771 Designation:
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515 40 CFR 1516
Legal Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None
Timetable:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CEQ's regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

Title: Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

Abstract:

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents
over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)  
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda  
Major: Undetermined  
EO 13771 Designation:  
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1500 to 1508  
Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None  
Timetable:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Undetermined  
Government Levels Affected: Undetermined  

Agency Contact:  
Ted Boling  
Council on Environmental Quality  
730 Jackson Place NW,  
Washington, DC 20506  
Phone: 202 395-5750

Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update  
Abstract:  
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ's business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)  
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda  
Major: Undetermined  
EO 13771 Designation:  
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515 40 CFR 1516  
Legal Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None  
Timetable:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No  
Government Levels Affected: None
Federalism: No
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No
Agency Contact:
Viktoria Z. Seale
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 395-5750

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality
[Redacted] (Desk)
[Redacted] (Cell)
FW: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Wednesday, May 9, 2018 12:41 PM
To: Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ
Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ
Osterhues, Marlys A. EOP/CEQ
Patella, Michael A. EOP/CEQ
Vandegrift, Scott F. EOP/CEQ
Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ
Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ
Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ
Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Prandoni, Christopher D. EOP/CEQ
Loyola, Mario A. EOP/CEQ
Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ

Subject: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

All,

CEQ’s regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

CEQ

Title: • Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

Abstract:

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled “Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure.” Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents...
over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)  
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda  
Major: Undetermined  
EO 13771 Designation:  
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1500 to 1508  
Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None  
Timetable:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Undetermined  
Government Levels Affected: Undetermined  
Federalism: No  
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No  
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No  
Agency Contact:  
Ted Boling  
Council on Environmental Quality  
730 Jackson Place NW,  
Washington, DC 20506  
Phone: 202 395-5750

Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update  
Abstract:  
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ's business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)  
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda  
Major: Undetermined  
EO 13771 Designation:  
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515 40 CFR 1516  
Legal Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None  
Timetable:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No  
Government Levels Affected: None
FW: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ" <o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdh)/cn=recipients/cn=af5f6888d706481b94d18088a30821c9-se>
To: "Sun, Howard C. EOP/CEQ"
Date: Wed, 09 May 2018 15:46:50 -0400

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Wednesday, May 9, 2018 12:41 PM
To: Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ <b>Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ</b>
Pattia, Michael A. EOP/CEQ <b>Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ</b>
Vandegrift, Scott F. EOP/CEQ <b> Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ</b>
Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ <b>Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ</b>
Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ <b>Prandoni, Christopher D. EOP/CEQ</b>
EOP/CEQ <b>Loyola, Mario A. EOP/CEQ</b>
Mansoor, Yarden M. EOP/CEQ <b>Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ</b>
Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ <b>
Subject: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

All,

CEQ's regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

CEQ
RIN: 0331-AA03
Publication ID: Spring 2018

Title: Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

Abstract:

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and
guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda
Major: Undetermined
EO 13771 Designation: 
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1500 to 1508
Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None
Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Undetermined
Government Levels Affected: Undetermined

Federalism: No
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No
Agency Contact:
Ted Boling
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 395-5750

Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update
Abstract:
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ’s business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda
Major: Undetermined
EO 13771 Designation: 
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515
Legal Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None
Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No
Federalism: No
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No
Agency Contact:
Viktoria Z. Seale
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 395-5750

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality
[Redacted]
[Redacted] (Cell)
[Redacted] (Desk)
CEQ’s regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

Title: Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

Abstract:

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.
Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update

Abstract:
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ's business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda
Major: Undetermined
EO 13771 Designation: Undetermined
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515
Legal Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None
Timetable:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No
Federalism: None
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No
Agency Contact:
Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

(b)(6) (Desk)
(b)(6) (Cell)
(b)(6)
RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ’s Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" </o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group: (fydibohf23spdtl)/cn=recipients/cn=eae5b047f971428b8b46baf9af1176a-bo">
To: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)>
Cc: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)>
Neumayr, "Mary B. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2018 12:47:15 -0400

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 12:43 PM
To: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)>
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)>
Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)>
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ’s Regulatory Agenda Now Public
All,

CEQ's regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaMain?operation=OPERATION_GET_AGENCY_RULE_LIST&currentPub=true&agencyCode=&showStage=active&agencyCd=0331.

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency:</th>
<th>Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIN Status:</td>
<td>First time published in the Unified Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major:</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO 13771 Designation:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFR Citation:</td>
<td>40 CFR 1500 to 1508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Authority:</td>
<td>42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Title:** Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

**Abstract:**

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

**Agency Contact:**

Ted Boling
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 395-5750

---

CEQ075FY18150_000001068

3
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016, to reflect CEQ's business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda
Major: Undetermined
EO 13771 Designation: 
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515 40 CFR 1516
Legal Authority: U.S.C. 552 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None
Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No
Federalism: No
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No
Agency Contact:
Viktoria Z. Seale
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 395-5750

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

4 CEQ075FY18150_000001068
RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 12:38 PM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ; Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ

Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public
CEQ’s regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

CEQ

RIN: 0331-AA03

Publication ID: Spring 2018

---

CEQ075FY18150_000001069
On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda
Major: Undetermined
EO 13771 Designation: 
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1500 to 1508
Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.
Legal Deadline: None
Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Undetermined
Federalism: No
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No
Agency Contact:
Ted Boling
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202-395-8750

Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update

Abstract:
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ’s business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
Priority: Substantive, Nonsignificant
**RIN Status**: First time published in the Unified Agenda

**Major**: Undetermined

**EO 13771 Designation**: Undetermined

**CFR Citation**: 40 CFR 1515, 40 CFR 1516

**Legal Authority**: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

**Legal Deadline**: None

**Timetable**:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required**: No

**Federalism**: No

**Included in the Regulatory Plan**: No

**RIN Data Printed in the FR**: No

**Agency Contact**:
Viktoria Z. Seale  
Council on Environmental Quality  
730 Jackson Place NW,  
Washington, DC 20506  
Phone: 202 395-5750

**Aaron L. Szabo**  
Senior Counsel  
Council on Environmental Quality  
(Desk)  
(Cell)  

**Agenda Stage of Rulemaking**: Proposed Rule Stage

**Unfunded Mandates**: No

**Government Levels Affected**: None
RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" <"/o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group : (fylbohfl23spdh)/cn=recipients/cn=eae5b9471f71d28b9b46baf8a1f176a-bo">
To: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ" <
(b) (6)>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2018 11:47:53 -0400

(b) (5)

From: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 11:46 AM
To: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

(b) (5)

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 11:33 AM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

Aaron - b (5)

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Wednesday, May 9, 2018 12:41 PM
To: Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ -b (6) Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Osterhuys, Marlys A. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Patella, Michael A. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Vandegrift, Scott F. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Prandoni, Christopher D. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Mansoor, Yarden M. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ -b (6)
Subject: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

All,
CEQ's regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

---

**CEQ RIN: 0331-AA03**

**Publication ID:** Spring 2018

**Title:** Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

**Abstract:**

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

**Agency:** Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)

**RIN Status:** First time published in the Unified Agenda

**Major:** Undetermined

**EO 13771 Designation:**

**CFR Citation:** 40 CFR 1500 to 1508

**Legal Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.

**Legal Deadline:** None

**Timetable:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:** Undetermined

**Government Levels Affected:** Undetermined

**Federalism:** No

**Included in the Regulatory Plan:** No

**RIN Data Printed in the FR:** No

**Agency Contact:**

Ted Boling
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 395-5750

---

**CEQ:**

**RIN: 0331-AA02**

**Publication ID:** Spring 2018
Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update

Abstract:

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ’s business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)  
Priority: Substantive, Nonsignificant  
Agenda Stage of Rulemaking: Proposed Rule Stage  
Unfunded Mandates: No

RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda  
Major: Undetermined  
EO 13771 Designation:  
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515 40 CFR 1516  
Legal Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None  
Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No  
Government Levels Affected: None  
Federalism: No  
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No  
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No  
Agency Contact:  
Viktoriia Z. Seale  
Council on Environmental Quality  
730 Jackson Place NW,  
Washington, DC 20506  
Phone: 202 395-5750

Aaron L. Szabo  
Senior Counsel  
Council on Environmental Quality  
(Desk)  
(Cell)
RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ’s Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ" (b) (6)
To: "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" (b) (6)
Date: Tue, 15 May 2018 11:46:12 -0400

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 11:33 AM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ (b) (6)
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ (b) (6)
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ’s Regulatory Agenda Now Public

Aaron –

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Wednesday, May 9, 2018 12:41 PM
To: Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Osterhues, Marlys A. EOP/CEQ (b) (6)
Patella, Michael A. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ (b) (6)
Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ (b) (6)
Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Prandoni, Christopher D. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Loyola, Mario A. EOP/CEQ (b) (6)
Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ (b) (6)
Cc: Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ (b) (6) Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ (b) (6)
Subject: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ’s Regulatory Agenda Now Public

All,

CEQ’s regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:
Title: Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

Abstract:

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)

RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda

Major: Undetermined

EO 13771 Designation: 

CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1500 to 1508

Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None

Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Undetermined

Federalism: No

Included in the Regulatory Plan: No

RIN Data Printed in the FR: No

Agency Contact:

Ted Boling
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 395-5750

Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update

Abstract:

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ's business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)

Priority: Substantive, Non-significant
**RIN Status:** First time published in the Unified Agenda  
**Major:** Undetermined  
**EO 13771 Designation:**  
**CFR Citation:** 40 CFR 1515 40 CFR 1516  
**Legal Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

**Legal Deadline:** None  
**Timetable:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No  
| Federalism: No  
| Included in the Regulatory Plan: No  
| RIN Data Printed in the FR: No  
| Agency Contact:  
| Viktoria Z. Seale  
| Council on Environmental Quality  
| 730 Jackson Place NW,  
| Washington, DC 20506  
| Phone: 202 395-5750 |

**Aaron L. Szabo**  
Senior Counsel  
Council on Environmental Quality

---

**Agenda Stage of Rulemaking:** Proposed Rule Stage  
**Unfunded Mandates:** No  
**Government Levels Affected:** None
RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" <mailto:boling@nasa.gov>
To: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ"
Cc: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ"
"Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ"
Subject: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

All,

CEQ's regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

CEQ

RIN: 0331-AA03
Publication ID: Spring 2018

Title: "Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act"

Abstract:

Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)  
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda  
EO 13771 Designation: Undetermined  
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1500 to 1508  
Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.  

Legal Deadline: None  
Timetable:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Undetermined  
Federalism: No  
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No  
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No  
Agency Contact:  
Ted Boling  
Council on Environmental Quality  
730 Jackson Place NW,  
Washington, DC 20506  
Phone: 202 385-6760  

Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update  
Abstract:  
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ's business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.
Legal Deadline: None

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No
Federalism: No
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No

Agency Contact:
Viktoria Z. Seale
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone:202 395-5750

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

(b) (6) (Desk)
(b) (6) (Cell)
RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)
To: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)
Cc: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6) "Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)
Date: Tue, 15 May 2018 12:37:49 -0400

To: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ, Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 12:32 PM
To: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ, Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 11:33 AM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ, Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Wednesday, May 9, 2018 12:41 PM

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 12:32 PM
To: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ, Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2018 11:33 AM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ, Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: FOR AWARENESS: CEQ's Regulatory Agenda Now Public

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Wednesday, May 9, 2018 12:41 PM
All,

CEQ’s regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaMain?operation=OPERATION_GET_AGENCY_RULE_LIST&currentPub=true&agencyCode=&showStage=active&agencyCd=0331.

For ease, I am also providing the information that can be found on the website below:

---

**Title:** Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

**Abstract:**

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

**Agency:** Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)

**RIN Status:** First time published in the Unified Agenda

**Major:** Undetermined

**EO 13771 Designation:**

**CFR Citation:** 40 CFR 1500 to 1508

**Legal Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.

**Legal Deadline:** None

**Timetable:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:** Undetermined

**Federalism:** No

**Included in the Regulatory Plan:** No

**RIN Data Printed in the FR:** No

**Agency Contact:**

Ted Boling
Council on Environmental Quality

---
Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update

Abstract:

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ's business process; and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)

RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda

Major: Undetermined

EO 13771 Designation:

CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515, 40 CFR 1516

Legal Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None

Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No

Federalism: No

Included in the Regulatory Plan: No

RIN Data Printed in the FR: No

Agency Contact:
Viktoria Z. Seale
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone:202 395-5750

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

Priority: Substantive, Nonsignificant

Agenda Stage of Rulemaking: Proposed Rule Stage

Unfunded Mandates: No

Government Levels Affected: None
All,

CEQ’s regulatory agenda is now publicly available at the following link:
Title: Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

Abstract:

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807, titled "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environment Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure." Section 5(e) of Executive Order 13807 directed the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) to develop an initial list of actions it will take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. CEQ published its initial list of actions in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, (82 FR 43226) and stated that CEQ intends to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify those regulations. The regulations were issued in 1978, were amended in 1986, and have never been comprehensively revised. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to consider updating the implementing regulations.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda
Major: Undetermined
EO 13771 Designation:
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1500 to 1508
Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4371 et seq.

Legal Deadline: None

Timetable:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANPRM</td>
<td>05/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Undetermined

Government Levels Affected: Undetermined

Federalism: No

Included in the Regulatory Plan: No

RIN Data Printed in the FR: No

Agency Contact:
Ted Boling
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place NW,
Washington, DC 20506
Phone: 202 366-5760

Title: Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) and Privacy Act Regulations Update

Abstract:

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is developing a proposal to revise its Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regulations, in order to comply with the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016; to reflect CEQ's business process;
and to correct or remove obsolete information. CEQ is also revising its Privacy Act implementation regulations due to changes of address and other administrative issues.

Agency: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ)  
RIN Status: First time published in the Unified Agenda  
Major: Undetermined  
EO 13771 Designation:  
CFR Citation: 40 CFR 1515  40 CFR 1516  
Legal Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552 et seq.  
Legal Deadline: None  
Timetable:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>FR Cite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td>07/00/2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No  
Government Levels Affected: None  
Federalism: No  
Included in the Regulatory Plan: No  
RIN Data Printed in the FR: No  
Agency Contact:  
Viktoria Z. Seale  
Council on Environmental Quality  
730 Jackson Place NW,  
Washington, DC 20506  
Phone: 202-395-5750

Aaron L. Szabo  
Senior Counsel  
Council on Environmental Quality  
(b) (6) (Desk)  
(b) (6) (Cell)
Hey Dan – I’m hoping to have the story out Monday. So my deadline would be noon Monday.

Hey Nick,

What’s your timing on this?

Dan

Hey Dan,

I’m hoping to do a follow up to the below examining in more detail what the process will look like and what areas of CEQ’s NEPA regulations would be ripe for change.

Would you be able to set up an interview with Ted Boling? Would be great to get some of his thoughts on the issue and have his voice in the story.

Best,

Nick

Hey Nick,

On background, attributable to a CEQ Spokesman:
On May 3rd, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) submitted a draft Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) entitled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” to the Office of Management and Budget for interagency review consistent with Executive Order 12866. After completion of interagency review, CEQ anticipates will publish the ANPRM in the Federal Register for public comment.

This ANPRM is being developed in response to Executive Order 13807 issued by President Trump on August 15, 2017. While CEQ has issued memoranda and guidance documents over the years, it has only amended its regulations once. Therefore, CEQ believes it is appropriate at this time to solicit public comment and consider updating the implementation regulations.

Hope that helps,

Dan

From: Nick Sobczyk <nsobczyk@eenews.net>
Sent: Monday, May 7, 2018 2:27 PM
To: Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Comment from CEQ?

Hi Dan,

Hope all is well and that you’re enjoying your new gig at the White House! I saw CEQ submitted a prerule with OMB on May 3 to update its NEPA regulations. I’m looking for a comment from CEQ on the following questions. My deadline is 3:15 pm.

Does CEQ plan to follow this up with an advanced notice of proposed rulemaking? Or are there other options available?

What are the next steps and what is the timeline looking like?

What specific changes will CEQ make to its NEPA regs? How will they affect permitting processes at other agencies?

Thanks!

Nick Sobczyk
E&E News reporter
nsobczyk@eenews.net
Office: 202-446-0437
Cell: @nick_sobczyk

E&E NEWS
122 C Street NW 7th Floor Washington, DC 20001
Comments Received on CEQ ANPRM

From: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" <b>(6)</b>

To: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ" <b>(6)</b>

Date: Mon, 21 May 2018 10:43:04 -0400

Attachment(s):
- EO12866 Review CEQ NEPA ANPRM.DOCX (49.89 kB)
- EO12866 Review CEQ NEPA ANPRM.DOCX (24.79 kB)
- EO12866 Review CEQ NEPA ANPRM.DOCX (53.1 kB)
- EO12866 Review CEQ NEPA ANPRM.DOCX (49.35 kB)
- EO12866 Review CEQ NEPA ANPRM.DOCX (18.49 kB)

Viktoria,

Please find attached the interagency comments that we have received thus far via OIRA.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

Desk)
(Cell)
In advance of our 2:00 meeting, please see the attached document which includes the potential changes to the text of the ANPRM. I am still working on the comment responses document. Will update you shortly.

Thanks,

Thomas L. Sharp
Senior Advisor for Infrastructure
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President

www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
HOLD: Interagency Phone Call on CEQ NEPA ANPRM

Where: 734 JP 2nd Floor

When: Wed May 30 15:00:00 2018 (America/New_York)

Until: Wed May 30 16:00:00 2018 (America/New_York)

Organiser: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" <o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (tlydibohf23spddlt)/cn=recipients/cn=f93a8d1d2b4420ca81e53ff8199b780-sz>

Required Attendees: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" (6)
"Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ Seale" (6)
"Bailing, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" (6)
"Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ" (6)
"Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ" (6)
"Loyola, Mario A. EOP/CEQ" (6)
"Katherine R. EOP/CEQ Smith" (6)

Participant Dial-In: (6)
Participant Code: (6)
Aaron,

As requested, please see the attached comments and responses document. I reformatted it, please give further direction as you see fit. This draft does not include [b] (5) [b], [b] (6), which I will incorporate next week.

Thanks for the work!

Thomas L. Sharp
Senior Advisor for Infrastructure
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President

www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
RE: Latest ANPRM

From: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" <Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ>
To: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ" <Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2018 14:16:21 -0400
Attachments: EO12866 Review CEQ NEPA ANPRM_Revised_Clean.docx (47.61 kB)

From: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 1:46 PM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Subject: Latest ANPRM

Aaron,

I can’t find the latest version, please send and I’ll add.

Best,

Michael
FW: FW: EO 12866 Call on CEQ NEPA Procedural Provisions Prerule

Where: Dial-In: (b) (6), Code: (b) (6)

When: Fri Jun 08 09:00:00 2018 (America/New_York)

Until: Fri Jun 08 10:00:00 2018 (America/New_York)

Organiser: "Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB" <o=exchange organization/ou=exchange/administrative group(cnf=recipients/cn=1eab5b65831b4f7f0b65d73703504e13e-wh)>

Required Attendees: "Bolen, Brittany" <bolen.britanny@epa.gov>
"Justin Schwab (schwab.justin@epa.gov)" <schwab.justin@epa.gov>

-----Original Appointment-----
From: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB
Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 12:43 PM
To: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB; Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ; Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ; Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ; Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ; Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ; Gignoux, Caroline M. EOP/CEQ (Intern); Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ
Subject: FW: EO 12866 Call on CEQ NEPA Procedural Provisions Prerule

When: Friday, June 8, 2018 9:00 AM-10:00 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
Where: Dial-In: (b) (6), Code: (b) (6)

All, in order to work through the comments in an expedited manner

--


Chad,

Per the meeting, please see attached the revised clean and RLSO version.

Thanks.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

(b)(6) (Desk)
(b)(6) (Cell)
RE: Latest ANPRM

All,

See attached version of the ANPRM.

Best,

Michael

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 2:16 PM
To: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Latest ANPRM

From: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 1:46 PM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Subject: Latest ANPRM

Aaron,

I can’t find the latest version, please send and I’ll add...
Best.

Michael
FW: FW: EO 12866 Call on CEQ NEPA Procedural Provisions Prerule

Where: Dial-In: [b] (6) __________ Code: [b] (6)
When: Fri Jun 08 09:00:00 2018 (America/New_York)
Until: Fri Jun 08 10:00:00 2018 (America/New_York)

Organiser: "Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB" <o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdl)\cn=recipients/cn=1eab5b65831b4f7fb65d73703504e13e-wh">
Required Attendee: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ" [b] (6)

From: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB
Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 4:43:21 PM UTC
To: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB; Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ; Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ; Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ; Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ; Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ; Gignoux, Caroline M. EOP/CEQ (Interim); Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Bolen, Brittany; Justin Schwab (schwab.justin@epa.gov)
Subject: FW: EO 12866 Call on CEQ NEPA Procedural Provisions Prerule
When: Friday, June 8, 2018 1:00 PM-2:00 PM.
Where: Dial-In: [b] (6) __________ Code: [b] (6)

All, In order to work through the comments in an expedited manner, [b] (5)
Hey Aaron, please see attached for your review.

Thanks,

Dan

Dan Schneider
Associate Director for Communications
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President
(desk)
www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
Council on Environmental Quality Requests Public Comment on Potential Revisions to Update the National Environment Policy Act

On June XX, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) submitted an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking entitled, “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” to the Federal Register for public comment.

Background:

- On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807 which directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions it would take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process.

- CEQ’s initial list of actions was published in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017 and stated CEQ intended to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of NEPA.

- Over the past four decades, CEQ has issued numerous guidance documents but has amended its regulations only once. Given the length of time since its National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) implementing regulations were issued, CEQ has determined it appropriate to solicit public comment on potential revisions to update the regulations and ensure a more efficient, timely, and effective NEPA process consistent with the national environmental policy stated in NEPA.

- In May 2018, CEQ submitted the draft ANPRM to the Office of Management and Budget for interagency review consistent with Executive Order 12866. Following the interagency review, CEQ believes it is appropriate to now solicit public comment.

Request for Public Comment:

- CEQ requests comment on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ NEPA regulations. Comments should be submitted on or before 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register.

- Through a series of 20 questions, CEQ is requesting comments on the NEPA process, the scope of NEPA review, and ways to improve and make the NEPA process more efficient.

Next Steps:

- Following the conclusion of the public comment period, CEQ will review the comments before taking any potential further action.
Hey Aaron, can you take a look at this today so I can get it up to Mary?

Thanks!

Hey Aaron, please see attached for your review.

Thanks,

Dan

Dan Schneider
Associate Director for Communications
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President
www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
Council on Environmental Quality Requests Public Comment on Potential Revisions to Update the National Environment Policy Act

On June XX, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) submitted an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking entitled, “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” to the Federal Register for public comment.

Background:

- On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807 which directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions it would take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process.
- CEQ’s initial list of actions was published in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017 and stated CEQ intended to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of NEPA.
- Over the past four decades, CEQ has issued numerous guidance documents but has amended its regulations only once. Given the length of time since its National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) implementing regulations were issued, CEQ has determined it appropriate to solicit public comment on potential revisions to update the regulations and ensure a more efficient, timely, and effective NEPA process consistent with the national environmental policy stated in NEPA.
- In May 2018, CEQ submitted the draft ANPRM to the Office of Management and Budget for interagency review consistent with Executive Order 12866. Following the interagency review, CEQ believes it is appropriate to now solicit public comment.

Request for Public Comment:

- CEQ requests comment on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ NEPA regulations. Comments should be submitted on or before 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register.
- Through a series of 20 questions, CEQ is requesting comments on the NEPA process, the scope of NEPA review, and ways to improve and make the NEPA process more efficient.

Next Steps:

- Following the conclusion of the public comment period, CEQ will review the comments before taking any potential further action.
RE: Draft ANPRM Fact Sheet

Hey Aaron, can you take a look at this today so I can get it up to Mary?

Thanks!

Hey Aaron, please see attached for your review.

Thanks,

Dan

Dan Schneider
Associate Director for Communications
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President

www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
Council on Environmental Quality Requests Public Comment on Potential Revisions to Update the National Environment Policy Act


Background:

- On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807 which directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions it would take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process.
- CEQ’s initial list of actions was published in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017 and stated CEQ intended to review existing CEQ regulations implementing the procedural requirements of NEPA.
- Over the past four decades, CEQ issued numerous guidance documents but has amended its regulations only once. Given the length of time since CEQ’s National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) implementing regulations were issued, CEQ has determined it appropriate to solicit public comment on potential revisions to update the regulations and ensure a more efficient, timely, and effective NEPA process consistent with the national environmental policy stated in NEPA.
- On May 3, 2018, CEQ submitted the draft ANPRM to the Office of Management and Budget for interagency review consistent with Executive Order 12866. Following the interagency review, CEQ believes it is appropriate to now solicit public comment.

Request for Public Comment:

- CEQ requests comment on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ NEPA regulations. Comments should be submitted on or before 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register. To comment, go to https://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for submitting comments to Docket ID No. CEQ-2018-0001.
- Through a series of 20 questions, CEQ is requesting comments on the NEPA process, the scope of NEPA review, and ways to improve and make the NEPA better for all stakeholders.

Next Steps:
Following the conclusion of the public comment period, CEQ will review the comments before taking any potential further action.
RE: For EO 12866: Revised CEQ ANPRM

From: "Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB"<br>(b)(6)
To: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ"<br>(b)(6)
"Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ"<br>(b)(6)
"Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ"<br>(b)(6)
"Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ"<br>(b)(6)
"Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ"
Cc: "Gignoux, Caroline M. EOP/CEQ (Intern)"
"Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ"
"Loyola, Mario A. EOP/CEQ"

Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2018 09:48:06 -0400

Aaron,

Thanks,
Chad

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 9:36 AM
To: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB<br>(b)(6)
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ<br>(b)(6)
Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ<br>(b)(6)
Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ<br>(b)(6)
Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ<br>(b)(6)
Gignoux, Caroline M. EOP/CEQ (Intern)<br>(b)(6)
Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ<br>(b)(6)
Loyola, Mario A. EOP/CEQ<br>(b)(6)

Subject: RE: For EO 12866: Revised CEQ ANPRM

Chad,
From: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 9:23 AM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ; Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ; Barnett, Steven W. EOP/CEQ; Sharp, Thomas L. EOP/CEQ; Ignouz, Caroline M. EOP/CEQ (Intern); Loyola, Mario A. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: For EO 12866: Revised CEQ ANPRM

Aaron,

Would the agency consider...

Chad

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, June 8, 2018 10:00 AM
To: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB
Subject: For EO 12866: Revised CEQ ANPRM

Chad,

Per the meeting, please see attached the revised clean and RLSO version.

Thanks.
Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

(b) (6) (Desk)
(b) (6) (Cell)
Chad,

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Chad,

Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2018 09:36:15 -0400

From: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB
Sent: Wednesday, June 13, 2018 9:23 AM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ

Subject: RE: For EO 12866: Revised CEQ ANPRM
Aaron,

Would the agency consider [redacted]?

Chad

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, June 8, 2018 10:00 AM
To: Whiteman, Chad S. EOP/OMB
Subject: For EO 12866: Revised CEQ ANPRM

Chad,

Per the meeting, please see attached the revised clean and RLSO version.

Thanks.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality
[redacted] (Desk)
[redacted] (Cell)
Chad,

Please see a RLSO version with some small nits since the last version.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality
Let's discuss. Ted is in my office.

From: Miriam Vincent <miriam.vincent@nara.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 2:29 PM
To: Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Cc: fedreg.legal@nara.gov; ofr-legal@gpo.gov
Subject: Re: FW: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review

Viktoria,

We allow quotations where the agency has added value to the quotation - addressing the specific language used, contrasting with other relevant language, showing how the specific language directed or led to specific agency action.

I have a flexible schedule on Monday, so can be available (with a little notice) anytime between 9:30 and 3:30. I'm finishing up for the day shortly, but I'll be starting early enough on Monday that I can be ready for a 9:30 meeting if you send a meeting request after I log off this afternoon.

Miriam

Miriam Vincent
Staff Attorney, Legal Affairs and Policy Division
On Fri, Jun 15, 2018 at 1:16 PM, Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ wrote:

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing with regards to an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) has submitted to the Federal Register for publication. I am available to discuss this matter at your earliest convenience and can be reached at (direct) or (cell).

Sincerely,

Viktoria

Viktoria Z. Seale
General Counsel
Executive Office of the President
Council on Environmental Quality

Subject: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review

From: Sun, Howard C. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 12:24 PM
To: Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ; Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ; Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ; Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Subject: FW: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review

From: Reid, Chipp (OFR) <creid@gpo.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 12:23 PM
To: Sun, Howard C. EOP/CEQ
Subject: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review
2.6 When can I use direct quotes? The OFR does not allow lengthy or excessive quotation from Federal regulations or Federal law. This includes text from regulatory documents published in the Federal Register. However, if your agency has a compelling legal reason to extensively quote this type of material, contact OFR’s Legal Affairs and Policy Division (fedreg.legal@nara.gov) before you submit your document for publication.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Chipp Reid
Writer/Editor
Office of the Federal Register
creid@gpo.gov
chipp.reid@nara.gov
202-741-6007
Re: FW: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review

From: Miriam Vincent <miriam.vincent@nara.gov>

To: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ"<br>

Cc: fedreg.legal@nara.gov, ofr-legal@gpo.gov

Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2018 14:29:26 -0400

Attachments: FR 2018-13246 1644312.docx (50.76 kB)

Viktoria,

We allow quotations where the agency has added value to the quotation - addressing the specific language used, contrasting with other relevant language, showing how the specific language directed or led to specific agency action.

I have a flexible schedule on Monday, so can be available (with a little notice) anytime between 9:30 and 3:30. I'm finishing up for the day shortly, but I'll be starting early enough on Monday that I can be ready for a 9:30 meeting if you send a meeting request after I log off this afternoon.

Miriam

Miriam Vincent
Staff Attorney, Legal Affairs and Policy Division
Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Administration
(0)202.741.6024 (c) (b) (6) (c) (b) (6)

On Fri, Jun 15, 2018 at 1:16 PM, Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)> wrote:

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing with regards to an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) has submitted to the Federal Register for publication. (b) (5)
I am available to discuss this matter at your earliest convenience and can be reached at (direct) or (cell).

Sincerely,

Viktoria

Viktoria Z. Seale
General Counsel
Executive Office of the President
Council on Environmental Quality

From: Reid, Chipp (OFR) <creid@epo.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 12:23 PM
To: Sun, Howard C. EOP/CEQ <b>5>
Subject: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review

Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ <b>6>
Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ <b>6>
Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ <b>6>
2.6 When can I use direct quotes? The OFR does not allow lengthy or excessive quotation from Federal regulations or Federal law. This includes text from regulatory documents published in the Federal Register. However, if your agency has a compelling legal reason to extensively quote this type of material, contact OFR’s Legal Affairs and Policy Division (fedreg.legal@nara.gov) before you submit your document for publication.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Chipp Reid
Writer/Editor
Office of the Federal Register
creid@gpo.gov
chipp.reid@nara.gov
202-741-6007

Legal Affairs and Policy Staff
Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Administration

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Federal Register Legal" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to fedreg.legal+unsubscribe@nara.gov.
For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/a/nara.gov/d/optout.
Let's discuss. Ted is in my office.

Miriam Vincent
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 2:29 PM
To: Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Cc: fedreg.legal@nara.gov; ofr-legal@gpo.gov
Subject: Re: FW: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review

Viktoria,

We allow quotations where the agency has added value to the quotation - addressing the specific language used, contrasting with other relevant language, showing how the specific language directed or led to specific agency action.

I have a flexible schedule on Monday, so can be available (with a little notice) anytime between 9:30 and 3:30. I'm finishing up for the day shortly, but I'll be starting early enough on Monday that I can be ready for a 9:30 meeting if you send a meeting request after I log off this afternoon.

Miriam
Miriam Vincent
Staff Attorney, Legal Affairs and Policy Division
Office of the Federal Register
National Archives and Records Administration
(0)202.741.6024 (c) (b) (6) (c) (b) (6)

On Fri, Jun 15, 2018 at 1:16 PM, Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ wrote:

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing with regards to an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) has submitted to the Federal Register for publication. [Redacted]

I am available to discuss this matter at your earliest convenience and can be reached at (direct) or (cell).

Sincerely,

Viktoria

Viktoria Z. Seale
General Counsel
Executive Office of the President
Council on Environmental Quality

From: Sun, Howard C. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 12:24 PM
To: Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)>
Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)>
Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)>
Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)>

Subject: FW: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review

From: Reid, Chipp (OFR) <creid@gpo.gov>
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2018 12:23 PM
To: Sun, Howard C. EOP/CEQ
Subject: Edits to proposed rule on NEPA review

Handbook, page 2-15, which states:

2.6 When can I use direct quotes? The OFR does not allow lengthy or excessive quotation from Federal regulations or Federal law. This includes text from regulatory documents published in the Federal Register. However, if your agency has a compelling legal reason to extensively quote this type of material, contact OFR’s Legal Affairs and Policy Division (fedreg.legal@nara.gov) before you submit your document for publication.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Chipp Reid  
Writer/Editor  
Office of the Federal Register  
creid@gpo.gov  
chipp.reid@nara.gov  
202-741-6007  

--  
Legal Affairs and Policy Staff  
Office of the Federal Register  
National Archives and Records Administration  

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Federal Register Legal" group.  
To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to fedreg.legal+unsubscribe@nara.gov  
For more options, visit https://groups.google.com/a/nara.gov/d/optout.
Attached is the redlined [redacted]. Aaron and I have reviewed it.

Please let us know if you have any concerns. Otherwise, we will have Howard send this version back to OFR.

Thank you,

Viktoria
ANPRM Fact Sheet

From: "Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ"
To: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ"
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2018 09:51:51 -0400
Attachments: Draft FINAL ANPRM Fact Sheet 6-15-18.docx (19.82 kB)

Here’s the most recent version that has been finalized.

Dan Schneider
Associate Director for Communications
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President

www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
Council on Environmental Quality Requests Public Comment on Potential Revisions to Update National Environment Policy Act Regulations

On June XX, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) submitted an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” to the Federal Register for publication and public comment.

Background:

- On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807 which directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions it would take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process.
- In its initial list of actions published in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, CEQ stated that it intended to review its 1978 regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in order to identify potential updates and clarifications to those regulations.
- Over the past four decades, CEQ has issued numerous guidance documents but has amended its NEPA regulations substantively only once in 1986. Given the length of time since those regulations were issued, CEQ has determined it appropriate to solicit public comment on potential revisions to update the regulations.

Request for Public Comment:

- CEQ requests comment on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ’s NEPA regulations. Comments should be submitted on or before 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register. To comment, go to https://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for submitting comments to Docket ID No. CEQ-2018-0001.
- Through a series of 20 questions, CEQ is requesting comments on provisions of the regulations related to the NEPA process and the scope of NEPA review.

Next Steps:

- Following the conclusion of the public comment period, CEQ will review the comments before taking any potential further action.
I think the fact sheet looks good. The only update that can be made is to update the date it was submitted to the Federal Register as June 15.

I am also copying Aaron so that he can take a last look at the fact sheet.

Thanks

Viktoria

From: Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Subject: ANPRM Fact Sheet

Here's the most recent version that has been finalized.

Dan Schneider
Associate Director for Communications
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President
www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
Council on Environmental Quality Requests Public Comment on Potential Revisions to Update National Environment Policy Act Regulations

On June XX, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) submitted an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” to the Federal Register for publication and public comment.

Background:

- On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807 which directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions it would take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process.
- In its initial list of actions published in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, CEQ stated that it intended to review its 1978 regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in order to identify potential updates and clarifications to those regulations.
- Over the past four decades, CEQ has issued numerous guidance documents but has amended its NEPA regulations substantively only once in 1986. Given the length of time since those regulations were issued, CEQ has determined it appropriate to solicit public comment on potential revisions to update the regulations.

Request for Public Comment:

- CEQ requests comment on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ’s NEPA regulations. Comments should be submitted on or before 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register. To comment, go to https://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for submitting comments to Docket ID No. CEQ-2018-0001.
- Through a series of 20 questions, CEQ is requesting comments on provisions of the regulations related to the NEPA process and the scope of NEPA review.

Next Steps:

- Following the conclusion of the public comment period, CEQ will review the comments before taking any potential further action.
FW: ANPRM Fact Sheet

From: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ"<o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdl)/cn=recipients/cn=af5f6689d706481b94d18088a30821c9-se>
To: "Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ"
Cc: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ"
Date: Mon, 18 Jun 2018 10:18:13 -0400
Attachment(s): Draft FINAL ANPRM Fact Sheet 6-15-18.docx (19.82 kB)

Dan,

I think the fact sheet looks good. The only update that can be made is to update the date it was submitted to the Federal Register as June 15.

I am also copying Aaron so that he can take a last look at the fact sheet.

Thanks

Viktoria

---

From: Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, June 18, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Subject: ANPRM Fact Sheet

Here’s the most recent version that has been finalized.

Dan Schneider
Associate Director for Communications
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President
www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
Council on Environmental Quality Requests Public Comment on Potential Revisions to Update National Environment Policy Act Regulations

On June XX, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) submitted an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” to the Federal Register for publication and public comment.

Background:

- On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued Executive Order 13807 which directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions it would take to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process.
- In its initial list of actions published in the Federal Register on September 14, 2017, CEQ stated that it intended to review its 1978 regulations implementing the procedural requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in order to identify potential updates and clarifications to those regulations.
- Over the past four decades, CEQ has issued numerous guidance documents but has amended its NEPA regulations substantively only once in 1986. Given the length of time since those regulations were issued, CEQ has determined it appropriate to solicit public comment on potential revisions to update the regulations.

Request for Public Comment:

- CEQ requests comment on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ’s NEPA regulations. Comments should be submitted on or before 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register. To comment, go to https://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions for submitting comments to Docket ID No. CEQ-2018-0001.
- Through a series of 20 questions, CEQ is requesting comments on provisions of the regulations related to the NEPA process and the scope of NEPA review.

Next Steps:

- Following the conclusion of the public comment period, CEQ will review the comments before taking any potential further action.
RE: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM

From: Reid Nelson <melson@achp.gov>
To: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ"
Date: Tue, 19 Jun 2018 16:06:23 -0400

Happy to wait for tomorrow, can you resend then? I'll share the draft broadcast email with you all before it goes out, to be sure I've got it right.

From: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2018 4:05 PM
To: Reid Nelson
Subject: FW: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM

Here you go Reid. Bear in mind this is the pre-publication version. The actual version will publish tomorrow. Your call as to whether you distribute this version or wait for tomorrows.

Best,

Michael

From: FN-CEQ-NEPA
Sent: Tuesday, June 19, 2018 12:44 PM
To: Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ, Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM

Dear Colleagues,

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) has submitted an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” to the Federal Register for publication and public comment. As a respected member of the NEPA community, I want to ensure that you are engaged early in the process as CEQ begins this undertaking.

I’ve attached a copy of the ANPRM for your reference. (https://s3.amazonaws.com/public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2018-13246.pdf) The official version will publish in the Federal Register. The ANPRM asks a series of 20 questions on the NEPA process, the scope of NEPA review, and other areas of interest related to NEPA. CEQ requests comment on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ’s NEPA regulations. Comments should be submitted on or before July 20, 2018, and should be submitted through https://www.regulations.gov by following the online instructions for submitting comments to Docket ID No. CEQ-2018-0001.

Sincerely,
Ted

Edward A. Boling
Associate Director for the
National Environmental Policy Act
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place
Washington, DC 20503
I spoke with Ted late last week and promised to get word out among the preservation community when this is out – can you let me know when it is (out), and you have something I can link to in our announcement? Thanks. Reid

ACHP offers **NEW! FREE!** and low-cost e-learning courses for the public, applicants, and NEPA-106 practitioners.
Learn more at >[http://www.achp.gov/elearning.html](http://www.achp.gov/elearning.html)<
FW: CEQ Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar

Where: Webinar: https://meet.gsa.gov/ceqwebinar

When: Wed Jun 20 15:00:00 2018 (America/New_York)

Until: Wed Jun 20 16:30:00 2018 (America/New_York)

Organiser: FN-CEQ-NEPA<br/>

Required Attendee: "Yi, David Y. EOP/OMB"<br/>

Good afternoon Federal NEPA Contacts,

In advance of tomorrow’s webinar, we have updated the tele-conference participant code (correct code is [b] (6) [b] (6) [b] (6)).

Pleased find attached 1) a meeting agenda for tomorrow’s webinar, 2) a slide deck for those unable to join the webinar, 3) instructions for joining the webinar, 4) the pre-publication version of the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for the CEQ NEPA Regulations, and 5) a Report from the Federal Forum on Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution.

Lastly, please take a moment to review your agency’s NEPA Contact listed here: https://ceq.doe.gov/docs/NEPA-practice/2018-Federal-NEPA-contacts-and-websites-2018-06-15.pdf and provide any necessary updates via email to NEPA@ceq.eop.gov

Sincerely,

The CEQ NEPA Team
CEQ will host the Summer Meeting of the Federal NEPA Contacts via webinar on Wednesday, June 20 from 3:00pm – 4:30pm EDT.

Conference number and webinar URL are provided below. An agenda will be provided in advance of the meeting along with a PDF of the webinar slides for those unable to join the webinar.

Audio Conference Details:

Conference Number (Toll Free): (b) (6)

Participant Code: (b) (6)

To join the meeting:

https://meet.gsa.gov/ceqwebinar/

----------

If you have never attended an Adobe Connect meeting before:

Test your connection: https://meet.gsa.gov/common/help/en/support/meeting_test.htm

Get a quick overview: http://www.adobe.com/products/adobeconnect.html

Adobe, the Adobe logo, Acrobat and Adobe Connect are either registered trademarks or trademarks of Adobe Systems Incorporated in the United States and/or other countries
Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar

Wednesday, June 20, 2018
3:00 PM - 4:30 PM

[ redacted Participant Code ]

https://meet.gsa.gov/ceqwebinar/

Agenda

3:00pm  Introduction

3:05pm  Update from EJ Interagency Working Group NEPA Sub-Committee

3:15pm  Update from EPA's Office of Federal Activities

3:20pm  CEQ's Review of Regulations Implementing NEPA

3:55pm  Updates on CEQ Initiatives
  o  ECCR Ten Year Report
  o  One Federal Decision
  o  EIS Timeline Data
  o  CE Guidance and CE List

4:05pm  OMB Accountability System
  o  Accountability System – Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles

4:15pm  Open Discussion
Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar

Wednesday, June 20, 2018
3:00-4:30pm

Council on Environmental Quality
3:00pm  Introduction
3:05pm  Update from EJ Interagency Working Group NEPA Sub-Committee
3:15pm  Update from EPA’s Office of Federal Activities
3:20pm  CEQ’s Review of Regulations Implementing NEPA
3:55pm  Updates on CEQ Initiatives
        o  ECCR Ten Year Report
        o  One Federal Decision
        o  EIS Timeline Data
        o  CE Guidance and CE List
4:05pm  OMB Accountability System
        o  Accountability System –Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles
4:15pm  Open Discussion
"Have you heard about the Promising Practices Report?"

Denise C. Freeman
Co-chair, NEPA Committee
Federal Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice
CEQ Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar
EJ and NEPA Through the Federal Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice

EJ IWG Governance Structure 2016 - 2018

Charter & MOU (2011)

NEPA Committee Purpose:
- Improve effective, efficient and consistent consideration of EJ in the NEPA process
- Share promising practices/lessons learned developed by federal government NEPA practitioners
- Provide cross agency training on EJ and NEPA

CEQ Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar - June 20, 2018
Promising Practices for EJ Methodologies in NEPA Reviews

- The report provides an in-depth collection of principles and practices for considering and addressing EJ issues at every step of the NEPA process and should be used in conjunction with established CEQ and NEPA Guidance.
- The NEPA Committee, comprised of Federal NEPA practitioners across the federal family, believes that all federal agencies can benefit from developing effective, efficient, and consistent approaches to addressing environmental justice in their NEPA process.
- The report is available on the EJ IWG's website: https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/ej-iwg-promising-practices-ej-methodologies-nepa-reviews.

- Compilation of “promising practices” organized in coordinated, functional framework concerning interface of environmental justice considerations through NEPA processes
- Builds upon existing EJ and NEPA Guidance developed by CEQ and federal agencies
- Represents professional experience, knowledge and expertise of individuals participating in the NEPA Committee who are NEPA practitioners in federal agencies
- Captures collective thinking and thoughtful deliberation of shared information and results of research, analysis and discussions
- Joint efforts of the Committee reflect community of NEPA practitioners who seek to enable consideration of EJ within the context of NEPA
- Allows agencies to compare and improve their methodologies for considering EJ now and in the future
- Does not establish new requirements for NEPA analysis
- Is not formal agency guidance
- Is not intended to be legally binding or create rights and benefits for any person
Elements of the Promising Practices Report

Environmental Justice Within National Environmental Policy Act Reviews

- Meaningful Engagement
- Scoping
- Alternatives
- Affected Environment
  - Identify
  - Minority & Low-Income Populations
  - Impacts
  - Disproportionately High & Adverse Impacts
- Mitigation & Monitoring
Future & Next Steps: Implementation, Collaboration, and Innovation

• Continue to promote and encourage agency-wide use of the Promising Practices Report

• Publish and promote the use of the Community Guide to Environmental Justice and NEPA Methods

• Support CEQ’s efforts in implementing EO 13087
“Now that you’ve heard about the Promising Practices Report…”

• Spread the word about the Promising Practices Report and the Community Guide, i.e., training or a briefing at your agency.

• Volunteer and get involved with our efforts to promote more awareness.

• Continue Implementation and Collaboration at your agencies on EJ and NEPA issues.

• Give feedback on the Promising Practices Report.
Thank You

Denise C. Freeman

Denise.Freeman@hq.doe.gov
NEPA/309 Survey Results

- Over 160 respondents across 43 agencies
- Feedback centered around 3 primary subject areas:
  - Quality and consistency of 309 letters
  - Value of early engagement
  - Utility of 309 Rating System
Subsequent EPA Actions

- Letter Writing Guidance to regions (August 2017)
- Increased emphasis on early engagement
- Evaluation of alternatives to current 309 Rating System
3:00pm  Introduction

3:05pm  Update from EJ Interagency Working Group NEPA Sub-Committee

3:15pm  Update from EPA’s Office of Federal Activities

3:20pm  CEQ’s Review of Regulations Implementing NEPA

3:55pm  Updates on CEQ Initiatives
  o  ECCR Ten Year Report
  o  One Federal Decision
  o  EIS Timeline Data
  o  CE Guidance and CE List

4:05pm  OMB Accountability System
  o  Accountability System –Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles

4:15pm  Open Discussion
Questions?

https://ceq.doe.gov/index.html
Good afternoon Federal NEPA Contacts,

In advance of tomorrow’s webinar, we have updated the tele-conference participant code (correct code is [b](6))

Please find attached 1) a meeting agenda for tomorrow’s webinar, 2) a slide deck for those unable to join the webinar, 3) instructions for joining the webinar, 4) the pre-publication version of the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for the CEQ NEPA Regulations, and 5) a Report from the Federal Forum on Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution.


Sincerely,

The CEQ NEPA Team

**********
CEQ will host the Summer Meeting of the Federal NEPA Contacts via webinar on Wednesday, June 20 from 3:00pm – 4:30pm EDT.

Conference number and webinar URL are provided below. An agenda will be provided in advance of the meeting along with a PDF of the webinar slides for those unable to join the webinar.

Audio Conference Details:
Conference Number (Toll Free): [b] (6) [/b]
Participant Code: [b] (6) [/b]

To join the meeting:
https://meet.gsa.gov/ceqwebinar/

-----------
If you have never attended an Adobe Connect meeting before:

Test your connection: https://meet.gsa.gov/common/help/en/support/meeting_test.htm

Get a quick overview: http://www.adobe.com/products/adobeconnect.html

Adobe, the Adobe logo, Acrobat and Adobe Connect are either registered trademarks or trademarks of Adobe Systems Incorporated in the United States and/or other countries.
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1504, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508

[Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001]

RIN: 0331-AA03

Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is considering updating its implementing regulations for the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Over the past four decades, CEQ has issued numerous guidance documents but has amended its regulations substantively only once. Given the length of time since its NEPA implementing regulations were issued, CEQ solicits public comment on potential revisions to update the regulations and ensure a more efficient, timely, and effective NEPA process consistent with the national environmental policy stated in NEPA.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number CEQ-2018-0001 through the Federal eRulemaking portal at https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq., was enacted in 1970. NEPA states that "it is the continuing policy of the Federal Government, in cooperation with State and local governments, and other concerned public and private organizations, to use all practicable means and measures, including financial and technical assistance, in a manner calculated to foster and promote the general welfare, to create and maintain conditions under which man and nature can exist in productive harmony, and fulfill the social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations of Americans." 42 U.S.C. § 4331(a). NEPA also established CEQ as an agency within the Executive Office of the President. 42 U.S.C. § 4342.

By Executive Order (E.O.) 11514, "Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality" (March 5, 1970), President Nixon directed CEQ in Section 3(h) to issue "guidelines to Federal agencies for the preparation of detailed statements on proposals for legislation and other Federal actions affecting the environment, as required by section 102(2)(C) of the Act." CEQ published these guidelines in April of 1970 and revised them in 1973.

President Carter issued E.O. 11991 (May 24, 1977), "Relating to Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality," which amended Section 3(h) of E.O. 11514 to direct CEQ to issue regulations providing uniform standards for the implementation of
NEPA, and amended Section 2 of E.O. 11514 to require agency compliance with the CEQ regulations. CEQ promulgated its “Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” (CEQ’s NEPA regulations) at 40 CFR parts 1500-1508. 43 FR 55978 (November 29, 1978). Since that time, CEQ has amended its NEPA regulations substantively only once, to eliminate the “worst case” analysis requirement of 40 CFR 1502.22. 51 FR 15618 (April 25, 1986).

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued E.O. 13807, “Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environmental Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure Projects.” 82 FR 40463 (August 24, 2017). Section 5(e) of E.O. 13807 directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. In response, CEQ published its initial list of actions pursuant to E.O. 13807 and stated that it intends to review its existing NEPA regulations in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify these regulations. 82 FR 43226 (September 14, 2017).

II. Request for Comment

CEQ requests comments on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ NEPA regulations. In particular, CEQ requests comments on the following specific aspects of these regulations, and requests that commenters include question numbers when providing responses. Where possible, please provide specific recommendations on additions, deletions, and modifications to the text of CEQ’s NEPA regulations and their justifications.

NEPA Process:
1. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure that environmental reviews and authorization decisions involving multiple agencies are conducted in a manner that is concurrent, synchronized, timely, and efficient, and if so, how?

2. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to make the NEPA process more efficient by better facilitating agency use of environmental studies, analysis, and decisions conducted in earlier Federal, State, tribal or local environmental reviews or authorization decisions, and if so, how?

3. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure optimal interagency coordination of environmental reviews and authorization decisions, and if so, how?

Scope of NEPA Review:

4. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations that relate to the format and page length of NEPA documents and time limits for completion be revised, and if so, how?

5. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to provide greater clarity to ensure NEPA documents better focus on significant issues that are relevant and useful to decisionmakers and the public, and if so, how?

6. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to public involvement be revised to be more inclusive and efficient, and if so, how?

7. Should definitions of any key NEPA terms in CEQ’s NEPA regulations, such as those listed below, be revised, and if so, how?
   a. Major Federal Action;
   b. Effects;
c. Cumulative Impact;

d. Significantly;

e. Scope; and

f. Other NEPA terms.

8. Should any new definitions of key NEPA terms, such as those noted below, be added, and if so, which terms?

a. Alternatives;

b. Purpose and Need;

c. Reasonably Foreseeable;

d. Trivial Violation; and

e. Other NEPA terms.

9. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to any of the types of documents listed below be revised, and if so, how?

a. Notice of Intent;

b. Categorical Exclusions Documentation;

c. Environmental Assessments;

d. Findings of No Significant Impact;

e. Environmental Impact Statements;

f. Records of Decision; and

g. Supplements.

10. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to the timing of agency action be revised, and if so, how?
11. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to agency
responsibility and the preparation of NEPA documents by contractors and project
applicants be revised, and if so, how?

12. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to programmatic
NEPA documents and tiering be revised, and if so, how?

13. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to the appropriate
range of alternatives in NEPA reviews and which alternatives may be eliminated
from detailed analysis be revised, and if so, how?

General:

14. Are any provisions of the CEQ’s NEPA regulations currently obsolete? If so,
please provide specific recommendations on whether they should be modified,
rescinded, or replaced.

15. Which provisions of the CEQ’s NEPA regulations can be updated to reflect new
technologies that can be used to make the process more efficient?

16. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to promote
coordination of environmental review and authorization decisions, such as
combining NEPA analysis and other decision documents, and if so, how?

17. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to improve
the efficiency and effectiveness of the implementation of NEPA, and if so, how?

18. Are there ways in which the role of tribal governments in the NEPA process
should be clarified in CEQ’s NEPA regulations, and if so, how?
19. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to ensure that agencies apply NEPA in a manner that reduces unnecessary burdens and delays as much as possible, and if so, how?

20. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations related to mitigation should be revised, and if so, how?

(Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4332, 4342, 4344 and 40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508)

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under E.O. 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” 58 FR 51735 (October 4, 1993), this is a “significant regulatory action.” Accordingly, CEQ submitted this action to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under E.O. 12866 and any changes made in response to OMB recommendations have been documented in the docket for this action. Because this action does not propose or impose any requirements, and instead seeks comments and suggestions for CEQ to consider in possibly developing a subsequent proposed rule, the various statutes and executive orders that normally apply to rulemaking do not apply in this case. If CEQ decides in the future to pursue a rulemaking, CEQ will address the statutes and executive orders applicable to that rulemaking at that time.

Mary B. Neumayr,

Chief of Staff, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 2018-13246 Filed: 6/19/2018 8:45 am; Publication Date: 6/20/2018]
Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar
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[Participant Code]
https://meet.gsa.gov/ceqwebinar/

Agenda

3:00pm  Introduction

3:05pm  Update from EJ Interagency Working Group NEPA Sub-Committee

3:15pm  Update from EPA's Office of Federal Activities

3:20pm  CEQ's Review of Regulations Implementing NEPA

3:55pm  Updates on CEQ Initiatives
  ○ ECCR Ten Year Report
  ○ One Federal Decision
  ○ EIS Timeline Data
  ○ CE Guidance and CE List

4:05pm  OMB Accountability System
  ○ Accountability System - Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles

4:15pm  Open Discussion
Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar

Wednesday, June 20, 2018
3:00-4:30pm

Council on Environmental Quality
3:00pm  Introduction

3:05pm  Update from EJ Interagency Working Group NEPA Sub-Committee

3:15pm  Update from EPA's Office of Federal Activities

3:20pm  CEQ's Review of Regulations Implementing NEPA

3:55pm  Updates on CEQ Initiatives
  o  ECCR Ten Year Report
  o  One Federal Decision
  o  EIS Timeline Data
  o  CE Guidance and CE List

4:05pm  OMB Accountability System
  o  Accountability System -Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles

4:15pm  Open Discussion
"Have you heard about the Promising Practices Report?"

Denise C. Freeman
Co-chair, NEPA Committee
Federal Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice
CEQ Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar
EJ and NEPA Through the Federal Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice

**EJ IWG Governance Structure 2016 - 2018**

**Charter & MOU (2011)**

**NEPA Committee Purpose:**
- Improve effective, efficient and consistent consideration of EJ in the NEPA process
- Share promising practices/lessons learned developed by federal government NEPA practitioners
- Provide cross agency training on EJ and NEPA

CEQ Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar - June 20, 2018
The report provides an in-depth collection of principles and practices for considering and addressing EJ issues at every step of the NEPA process and should be used in conjunction with established CEQ and NEPA Guidance.

The NEPA Committee, comprised of Federal NEPA practitioners across the federal family, believes that all federal agencies can benefit from developing effective, efficient, and consistent approaches to addressing environmental justice in their NEPA process.

The report is available on the EJ IWG’s website: https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/ej-iwg-promising-practices-ej-methodologies-nepa-reviews.

- Compilation of “promising practices” organized in coordinated, functional framework concerning interface of environmental justice considerations through NEPA processes
- Builds upon existing EJ and NEPA Guidance developed by CEQ and federal agencies
- Represents professional experience, knowledge and expertise of individuals participating in the NEPA Committee who are NEPA practitioners in federal agencies
- Captures collective thinking and thoughtful deliberation of shared information and results of research, analysis and discussions
- Joint efforts of the Committee reflect community of NEPA practitioners who seek to enable consideration of EJ within the context of NEPA
- Allows agencies to compare and improve their methodologies for considering EJ now and in the future
- Does not establish new requirements for NEPA analysis
- Is not formal agency guidance
- Is not intended to be legally binding or create rights and benefits for any person
Elements of the Promising Practices Report

Environmental Justice Within National Environmental Policy Act Reviews

- Meaningful Engagement
- Scoping
- Alternatives
- Affected Environment
  - Identify
  - Minority & Low-Income Populations
  - Impacts
- Disproportionately High & Adverse Impacts
- Mitigation & Monitoring
Future & Next Steps: Implementation, Collaboration, and Innovation

- Continue to promote and encourage agency-wide use of the Promising Practices Report
- Publish and promote the use of the Community Guide to Environmental Justice and NEPA Methods
- Support CEQ’s efforts in implementing EO 13087
“Now that you’ve heard about the Promising Practices Report…”

- Spread the word about the Promising Practices Report and the Community Guide, i.e., training or a briefing at your agency
- Volunteer and get involved with our efforts to promote more awareness
- Continue Implementation and Collaboration at your agencies on EJ and NEPA issues
- Give feedback on the Promising Practices Report
Thank You

Denise C. Freeman

Denise.Freeman@hq.doe.gov
EPA NEPA/309 Update
NEPA/309 Survey Results

- Over 160 respondents across 43 agencies
- Feedback centered around 3 primary subject areas:
  - Quality and consistency of 309 letters
  - Value of early engagement
  - Utility of 309 Rating System
Subsequent EPA Actions

- Letter Writing Guidance to regions (August 2017)
- Increased emphasis on early engagement
- Evaluation of alternatives to current 309 Rating System
3:00pm Introduction

3:05pm Update from EJ Interagency Working Group NEPA Sub-Committee

3:15pm Update from EPA’s Office of Federal Activities

3:20pm CEQ’s Review of Regulations Implementing NEPA

3:55pm Updates on CEQ Initiatives
   - ECCR Ten Year Report
   - One Federal Decision
   - EIS Timeline Data
   - CE Guidance and CE List

4:05pm OMB Accountability System
   - Accountability System - Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles

4:15pm Open Discussion
https://ceq.doe.gov/index.html
Good afternoon Federal NEPA Contacts,

In advance of tomorrow’s webinar, we have updated the tele-conference participant code (correct code is [B][6]). Pleased find attached 1) a meeting agenda for tomorrow’s webinar, 2) a slide deck for those unable to join the webinar, 3) instructions for joining the webinar, 4) the pre-publication version of the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for the CEQ NEPA Regulations, and 5) a Report from the Federal Forum on Environmental Collaboration and Conflict Resolution.

Lastly, please take a moment to review your agency’s NEPA Contact listed here: https://ceq.doe.gov/docs/nepa-practice/2018-Federal-NEPA-contacts-and-websites-2018-06-15.pdf and provide any necessary updates via email to NEPA@ceq.eop.gov
Sincerely,

The CEQ NEPA Team

*****

CEQ will host the Summer Meeting of the Federal NEPA Contacts via webinar on Wednesday, June 20 from 3:00pm – 4:30pm EDT.

Conference number and webinar URL are provided below. An agenda will be provided in advance of the meeting along with a PDF of the webinar slides for those unable to join the webinar.

Audio Conference Details:

Conference Number (Toll Free): [b] (6)
Participant Code: [b] (6)

To join the meeting:

https://meet.gsa.gov/ceqwebinar/

If you have never attended an Adobe Connect meeting before:

Test your connection: https://meet.gsa.gov/common/help/en/support/meeting_test.htm

Get a quick overview: http://www.adobe.com/products/adobeconnect.html

Adobe, the Adobe logo, Acrobat and Adobe Connect are either registered trademarks or trademarks of Adobe Systems Incorporated in the United States and/or other countries
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1504, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508

[Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001]

RIN: 0331-AA03

Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the
National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is considering updating its
implementing regulations for the procedural provisions of the National Environmental
Policy Act (NEPA). Over the past four decades, CEQ has issued numerous guidance
documents but has amended its regulations substantively only once. Given the length of
time since its NEPA implementing regulations were issued, CEQ solicits public comment
on potential revisions to update the regulations and ensure a more efficient, timely, and
effective NEPA process consistent with the national environmental policy stated in
NEPA.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS
AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number
Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq., was enacted in 1970. NEPA states that "it is the continuing policy of the Federal Government, in cooperation with State and local governments, and other concerned public and private organizations, to use all practicable means and measures, including financial and technical assistance, in a manner calculated to foster and promote the general welfare, to create and maintain conditions under which man and nature can exist in productive harmony, and fulfill the social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations of Americans." 42 U.S.C. § 4331(a). NEPA also established CEQ as an agency within the Executive Office of the President. 42 U.S.C. § 4342.

By Executive Order (E.O.) 11514, "Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality" (March 5, 1970), President Nixon directed CEQ in Section 3(h) to issue "guidelines to Federal agencies for the preparation of detailed statements on proposals for legislation and other Federal actions affecting the environment, as required by section 102(2)(C) of the Act." CEQ published these guidelines in April of 1970 and revised them in 1973.

President Carter issued E.O. 11991 (May 24, 1977), "Relating to Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality," which amended Section 3(h) of E.O. 11514 to direct CEQ to issue regulations providing uniform standards for the implementation of
NEPA, and amended Section 2 of E.O. 11514 to require agency compliance with the CEQ regulations. CEQ promulgated its “Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” (CEQ’s NEPA regulations) at 40 CFR parts 1500-1508. 43 FR 55978 (November 29, 1978). Since that time, CEQ has amended its NEPA regulations substantively only once, to eliminate the “worst case” analysis requirement of 40 CFR 1502.22. 51 FR 15618 (April 25, 1986).

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued E.O. 13807, “Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environmental Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure Projects.” 82 FR 40463 (August 24, 2017). Section 5(e) of E.O. 13807 directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. In response, CEQ published its initial list of actions pursuant to E.O. 13807 and stated that it intends to review its existing NEPA regulations in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify these regulations. 82 FR 43226 (September 14, 2017).

II. Request for Comment

CEQ requests comments on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ NEPA regulations. In particular, CEQ requests comments on the following specific aspects of these regulations, and requests that commenters include question numbers when providing responses. Where possible, please provide specific recommendations on additions, deletions, and modifications to the text of CEQ’s NEPA regulations and their justifications.

NEPA Process:
1. Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to ensure that environmental reviews and authorization decisions involving multiple agencies are conducted in a manner that is concurrent, synchronized, timely, and efficient, and if so, how?

2. Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to make the NEPA process more efficient by better facilitating agency use of environmental studies, analysis, and decisions conducted in earlier Federal, State, tribal or local environmental reviews or authorization decisions, and if so, how?

3. Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to ensure optimal interagency coordination of environmental reviews and authorization decisions, and if so, how?

Scope of NEPA Review:

4. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations that relate to the format and page length of NEPA documents and time limits for completion be revised, and if so, how?

5. Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to provide greater clarity to ensure NEPA documents better focus on significant issues that are relevant and useful to decisionmakers and the public, and if so, how?

6. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to public involvement be revised to be more inclusive and efficient, and if so, how?

7. Should definitions of any key NEPA terms in CEQ's NEPA regulations, such as those listed below, be revised, and if so, how?

   a. Major Federal Action;

   b. Effects;
c. Cumulative Impact;

d. Significantly;

e. Scope; and

f. Other NEPA terms.

8. Should any new definitions of key NEPA terms, such as those noted below, be added, and if so, which terms?

a. Alternatives;

b. Purpose and Need;

c. Reasonably Foreseeable;

d. Trivial Violation; and

e. Other NEPA terms.

9. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to any of the types of documents listed below be revised, and if so, how?

a. Notice of Intent;

b. Categorical Exclusions Documentation;

c. Environmental Assessments;

d. Findings of No Significant Impact;

e. Environmental Impact Statements;

f. Records of Decision; and

g. Supplements.

10. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to the timing of agency action be revised, and if so, how?
11. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to agency responsibility and the preparation of NEPA documents by contractors and project applicants be revised, and if so, how?

12. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to programmatic NEPA documents and tiering be revised, and if so, how?

13. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to the appropriate range of alternatives in NEPA reviews and which alternatives may be eliminated from detailed analysis be revised, and if so, how?

**General:**

14. Are any provisions of the CEQ's NEPA regulations currently obsolete? If so, please provide specific recommendations on whether they should be modified, rescinded, or replaced.

15. Which provisions of the CEQ's NEPA regulations can be updated to reflect new technologies that can be used to make the process more efficient?

16. Are there additional ways CEQ's NEPA regulations should be revised to promote coordination of environmental review and authorization decisions, such as combining NEPA analysis and other decision documents, and if so, how?

17. Are there additional ways CEQ's NEPA regulations should be revised to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the implementation of NEPA, and if so, how?

18. Are there ways in which the role of tribal governments in the NEPA process should be clarified in CEQ's NEPA regulations, and if so, how?
19. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to ensure that agencies apply NEPA in a manner that reduces unnecessary burdens and delays as much as possible, and if so, how?

20. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations related to mitigation should be revised, and if so, how?

(Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4332, 4342, 4344 and 40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508)

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under E.O. 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” 58 FR 51735 (October 4, 1993), this is a “significant regulatory action.” Accordingly, CEQ submitted this action to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under E.O. 12866 and any changes made in response to OMB recommendations have been documented in the docket for this action. Because this action does not propose or impose any requirements, and instead seeks comments and suggestions for CEQ to consider in possibly developing a subsequent proposed rule, the various statutes and executive orders that normally apply to rulemaking do not apply in this case. If CEQ decides in the future to pursue a rulemaking, CEQ will address the statutes and executive orders applicable to that rulemaking at that time.

Mary B. Neumayr,

Chief of Staff, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 2018-13246 Filed: 6/19/2018 8:45 am; Publication Date: 6/20/2018]
Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar

Wednesday, June 20, 2018
3:00 PM - 4:30 PM

[Participant Code]
https://meet.gsa.gov/ceqwebinar/

Agenda

3:00pm  Introduction

3:05pm  Update from EJ Interagency Working Group NEPA Sub-Committee

3:15pm  Update from EPA’s Office of Federal Activities

3:20pm  CEQ's Review of Regulations Implementing NEPA

3:55pm  Updates on CEQ Initiatives
  - ECCR Ten Year Report
  - One Federal Decision
  - EIS Timeline Data
  - CE Guidance and CE List

4:05pm  OMB Accountability System
  - Accountability System –Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles

4:15pm  Open Discussion
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3:55pm  Updates on CEQ Initiatives
   - ECCR Ten Year Report
   - One Federal Decision
   - EIS Timeline Data
   - CE Guidance and CE List

4:05pm  OMB Accountability System
   - Accountability System –Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles

4:15pm  Open Discussion
"Have you heard about the Promising Practices Report?"

Denise C. Freeman
Co-chair, NEPA Committee
Federal Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice
CEQ Federal NEPA Contacts Webinar
EJ and NEPA Through the Federal Interagency Working Group on Environmental Justice

EJ IWG Governance Structure 2016 - 2018

Charter & MOU (2011)

NEPA Committee Purpose:
- Improve effective, efficient and consistent consideration of EJ in the NEPA process
- Share promising practices/lessons learned developed by federal government NEPA practitioners
- Provide cross agency training on EJ and NEPA
• The report provides an in-depth collection of principles and practices for considering and addressing EJ issues at every step of the NEPA process and should be used in conjunction with established CEQ and NEPA Guidance.
• The NEPA Committee, comprised of Federal NEPA practitioners across the federal family, believes that all federal agencies can benefit from developing effective, efficient, and consistent approaches to addressing environmental justice in their NEPA process.
• The report is available on the EJ IWG’s website: https://www.epa.gov/environmentaljustice/ej-iwg-promising-practices-ej-methodologies-nepa-reviews.

- Compilation of “promising practices” organized in coordinated, functional framework concerning interface of environmental justice considerations through NEPA processes
- Builds upon existing EJ and NEPA Guidance developed by CEQ and federal agencies
- Represents professional experience, knowledge and expertise of individuals participating in the NEPA Committee who are NEPA practitioners in federal agencies
- Captures collective thinking and thoughtful deliberation of shared information and results of research, analysis and discussions
- Joint efforts of the Committee reflect community of NEPA practitioners who seek to enable consideration of EJ within the context of NEPA
- Allows agencies to compare and improve their methodologies for considering EJ now and in the future
- Does not establish new requirements for NEPA analysis
- Is not formal agency guidance
- Is not intended to be legally binding or create rights and benefits for any person
Elements of the Promising Practices Report

Environmental Justice Within National Environmental Policy Act Reviews

- Meaningful Engagement
- Scoping
- Alternatives
- Affected Environment
- Identify
- Minority & Low-Income Populations
- Impacts
- Disproportionately High & Adverse Impacts
- Mitigation & Monitoring
Future & Next Steps: Implementation, Collaboration, and Innovation

• Continue to promote and encourage agency-wide use of the Promising Practices Report

• Publish and promote the use of the Community Guide to Environmental Justice and NEPA Methods

• Support CEQ's efforts in implementing EO 13087
"Now that you’ve heard about the Promising Practices Report..."

- Spread the word about the Promising Practices Report and the Community Guide, i.e., training or a briefing at your agency

- Volunteer and get involved with our efforts to promote more awareness

- Continue Implementation and Collaboration at your agencies on EJ and NEPA issues

- Give feedback on the Promising Practices Report
Thank You

Denise C. Freeman

Denise.Freeman@hq.doe.gov
NEPA/309 Survey Results

- Over 160 respondents across 43 agencies
- Feedback centered around 3 primary subject areas:
  - Quality and consistency of 309 letters
  - Value of early engagement
  - Utility of 309 Rating System
Subsequent EPA Actions

- Letter Writing Guidance to regions (August 2017)
- Increased emphasis on early engagement
- Evaluation of alternatives to current 309 Rating System
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3:05pm  Update from EJ Interagency Working Group NEPA Sub-Committee

3:15pm  Update from EPA's Office of Federal Activities

3:20pm  CEQ's Review of Regulations Implementing NEPA

3:55pm  Updates on CEQ Initiatives
  ○ ECCR Ten Year Report
  ○ One Federal Decision
  ○ EIS Timeline Data
  ○ CE Guidance and CE List

4:05pm  OMB Accountability System
  ○ Accountability System –Permitting Dashboard, agency CERPO roles

4:15pm  Open Discussion
Questions?

Welcome

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) was enacted to declare a national policy which will encourage productive and enjoyable harmony between man and his environment; to promote efforts which will prevent or eliminate damage to the environment and thereby promote the health and welfare of man; to enrich the understanding of the ecological systems and natural resources important to the Nation, and to establish a Council on Environmental Quality. Sec. 2 (42 U.S. Code § 4321)

NEPA is our latest national charter for protection of the environment. It establishes policy, sets goals (section 101), and provides means (section 102) for carrying out the policy. Section 102 (c) contains “action-taking” provisions to make sure that federal agencies act according to the letter and spirit of the Act.

President Nixon signed NEPA into law on January 1, 1970. NEPA set forth a bold new vision for America. Acknowledging the decades of environmental neglect that had significantly deteriorated the nation’s landscape and damaged the human environment, the law was established to foster and promote the general welfare to create and maintain conditions under which

https://ceq.doe.gov/index.html
From various sources, not complete, off to back in a few hours. In case you/Karen/Amber want to move the ball forward, feel free. I'm taking my computer, and will have my phone.

Thomas L. Sharp
Senior Advisor for Infrastructure
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President

www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
Hey Mary and Viktoria,

Please see attached a draft comment period extension notice. The extension would be for 31 days as I believe the comment period needs to close on a business day. (I believe that is correct based on the chart found on the Federal Register website: [here](#) that did not seem to include weekends or holidays).

Let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

CEQ NEPA ANPRM_Comment Period Extension.docx (43.11 kB)
FW: Request for Extension of Comment Period on NEPA ANPRM

From: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6) "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6) "Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6) "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)
To: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6) "Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)
Cc: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2018 14:44:45 -0400
Attachment: ANPRM Request for Extension of Public Comment Final.pdf (105.82 kB)

FYI – A comment period extension request from the Partnership Project (a coalition of advocacy organization), they came in to meet with OIRA and us during the EO 12866 process.

From: Stephen Schima <sschima@partnershipproject.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 11:48 AM
To: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ
Cc: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Request for Extension of Comment Period on NEPA ANPRM

Ted,

Attached is a request, on behalf of over 350 organizations, asking for an extension of the comment period on the NEPA ANPRM to 90 days.

Please let me know if you have any questions or have difficulty opening the document.

Thanks and I hope all is well,

Stephen Schima
NEPA Director
The Partnership Project
SSchima@partnershipproject.org

The Partnership Project – A coalition of over twenty national environmental advocacy groups including The Wilderness Society, Natural Resources Defense Council, and Sierra Club united to advance and defend key environmental policies.
Re: Request for Sixty-day Extension on Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) [Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001]

The 353 undersigned public interest organizations hereby request a sixty-day extension of the public comment period for the recently noticed Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) on the “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act.”

This ANPRM opens up the entire set of regulations applicable to almost all proposed executive branch actions, from energy development decisions on our public lands and waters to the construction of industrial facilities and major transportation infrastructure that release vast quantities of air, and water pollution and that will affect our planet’s future. Given that this proposal could fundamentally change how every single agency in the federal government considers the health and environmental impacts of federal decisions as well as public input under NEPA, we believe that a minimum of 90 days is necessary to provide everyone, but especially the public, the time to properly understand and meaningfully respond to the questions outlined in the ANPRM. We note that given the multiple subparts in several of the questions, there are closer to 40, not 20 questions, in the ANPRM. As you well know, many of the questions involve understanding not just the words in the regulation itself, but decades of administrative and judicial interpretation. The current comment period of 30 days is simply not adequate – especially for the public who rely on NEPA as the only way to weigh in on decisions impacting their communities and who must take time off work and away from their families to read the regulations and respond to this notice. Like previous processes accompanying CEQ promulgation regulations, we encourage CEQ to host public forums to listen to people’s experiences and views regarding the NEPA process. Such forums should be held in both urban and rural settings in several areas of the country. Indeed, a 30-day comment period, without a variety of public meetings, strongly suggests a lack of sincere interest in thoughtful comments and broad engagement with the diverse constituencies affected by America’s environmental Magna Carta.

We also request that CEQ give those without access to reliable internet service an opportunity to comment on this ANPRM by providing the option of submitting comments via regular mail. Currently, over 25% of U.S. adults do not have home broadband. However, the ANPRM only allows for comments to be submitted through the Federal eRulemaking portal. A U.S. Forest Service ANPRM released in January of 2018 that proposed to revise the agency’s NEPA regulations provided no less than three different ways to submit comments: online, by email, or by regular mail. This ANPRM has the potential to impact an exponentially larger number of people, and thus there is no reason why CEQ should not similarly accept these same three methods for
comment submission. Additionally, CEQ should provide an opportunity for in-person comments at the public meetings requested above.

For this request of public comment to be meaningful, it is critical that the entire public, not just those with internet access, be allowed to comment. This request is consistent with Question 6 concerning revision of the NEPA regulations to be more inclusive and efficient. Lack of reliable access to broadband, especially in rural, remote areas, further underscores the need to extend the comment period for this ANPRM.

Accordingly, we request the public comment period be extended to a minimum of 90 days, CEQ host public forums in urban and rural settings, and that CEQ provide the opportunity for comments to be submitted via mail as well as in person at the public meetings.

Respectfully submitted,
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Amigos de Tres Palmas
Animal Legal Defense Fund
Animal Welfare Institute
Animas Valley Institute
Arizona Mining Coalition
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Presidio Historical Association
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Upper Peninsula Environmental Coalition
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Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment
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Western Colorado Alliance for Community Action
Western Environmental Law Center
Western Nebraska Resources Council
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Western Watersheds Project
Western Wildlife Conservation
Western Wildlife Outreach
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Wild Connections
Wild Heritage Planners
Wild Horse Education
Wild Nature Institute
WILDCOAST
WildEarth Guardians
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Wildlands Network
Women’s International League for Peace and Freedom, U.S./Earth Democracy Group
Worksafe

From: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ" <b@b.com>
To: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" <a@a.com>
"Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ" <m@m.com>
"Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ" <v@v.com>
Cc: "Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ" <k@k.com>
Yardena M. EOP/CEQ" <y@y.com>
"Mansoor, <d@d.com>
Date: Tue, 26 Jun 2018 14:35:16 -0400
Attachment s: TNC NEPA Comment Period Extension Request 06 26 2018.pdf (82.97 kB)

FYI - Comment period extension request from The Nature Conservancy.

From: Kameran Onley <konley@TNC.ORG>
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 2:16 PM
To: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ <b@b.com>

Good afternoon, Mr. Drummond-

We received the Out of Office message from Mr. Boling and are forwarding our request to you.

Best,
Kameran

From: Kameran Onley
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 1:01 PM
To: b@b.com, a@a.com, m@m.com, v@v.com, k@k.com, y@y.com
Subject: Request for Sixty-day Extension for Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001)

Dear Mr. Boling:

I am writing to request a sixty-day extension to the comment period for CEQ’s advanced notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) to “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” (Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001).
Our mission at The Nature Conservancy is to conserve the lands and waters on which all life depends. Today, we operate in all 50 U.S. states and contribute to conservation outcomes in 72 countries around the world. Environmental laws adopted over the last five decades in the United States have dramatically improved the quality of the nation’s air and water, reduced the public’s exposure to harmful chemicals, given the public a greater voice in government decisions, and conserved our fish, wildlife, and other natural resources. Generations of Americans have benefitted from this legacy of leadership in environmental protection.

Because of its broad application to federal actions, strong commitment to public engagement, and pathways for scientific input to inform and improve our decision making, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as implemented by CEQ regulations, is one of the most important bedrock environmental laws in the United States. Given the importance of NEPA and implementing regulations, and the complexity of the issues implicated by the questions posed in the ANPRM, I am requesting an extension of the public comment period.

An extension of the comment period is necessary to provide sufficient time to provide detailed responses to the questions in the ANPRM that will be most useful to the rule-making process, and to ensure that the general public has a sufficient opportunity to be made aware of this process and provide input. Accordingly, I respectfully request no less than a sixty-day extension of the comment period from the originally proposed end date for the ANPRM to Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act.

Sincerely,

Kameran L. Onley
Director, U.S. Government Relations
konley@tnc.org
+1 703 841 4229
nature.org
June 26, 2018

Edward A. Boling
Associate Director for NEPA
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place, NW
Washington, DC 20503


Dear Mr. Boling:

I am writing to request a sixty-day extension to the comment period for CEQ’s advanced notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) to “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” (Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001).

Our mission at The Nature Conservancy is to conserve the lands and waters on which all life depends. Today, we operate in all 50 U.S. states and contribute to conservation outcomes in 72 countries around the world. Environmental laws adopted over the last five decades in the United States have dramatically improved the quality of the nation’s air and water, reduced the public’s exposure to harmful chemicals, given the public a greater voice in government decisions, and conserved our fish, wildlife, and other natural resources. Generations of Americans have benefitted from this legacy of leadership in environmental protection.

Because of its broad application to federal actions, strong commitment to public engagement, and pathways for scientific input to inform and improve our decision making, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), as implemented by CEQ regulations, is one of the most important bedrock environmental laws in the United States. Given the importance of NEPA and implementing regulations, and the complexity of the issues implicated by the questions posed in the ANPRM, I am requesting an extension of the public comment period.

An extension of the comment period is necessary to provide sufficient time to provide detailed responses to the questions in the ANPRM that will be most useful to the rule-making process, and to ensure that the general public has a sufficient opportunity to be made aware of this process and provide input. Accordingly, I respectfully request no less than a sixty-day extension of the comment period from the originally proposed end date for the ANPRM to Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act.

Sincerely,

Kameran L. Onley
Director, U.S. Government Relations
The Nature Conservancy
RE: Comment Extension Package for NEPA ANPRM

From: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ" <"/o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydiboh23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=af5f688d706481b94d18088a30621c9-se">
To: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ"
Date: Fri, 29 Jun 2018 17:18:21 -0400
Attachment s: CEQ NEPA ANPRM_Comment Period Extension_06232018 VZS edit.docx (42.73 kB)

Suggested edits attached.

Per Mary's edits, please find a revised version attached.

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, June 29, 2018 2:05 PM
To: Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Comment Extension Package for NEPA ANPRM

Hey Mary and Viktoria,

Please see attached a draft comment period extension notice.

Let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

CEQ075FY18150_000000888
Per Mary's edits, please find a revised version attached.

Hey Mary and Viktoria,

Please see attached a draft comment period extension notice.

Let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

CEQ075FY18150_000001193
Per Mary’s edits, please find a revised version attached.

Hey Mary and Viktoria,

Please see attached a draft comment period extension notice.

Let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality
RE: Comment Extension Package for NEPA ANPRM

From: "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ"
To: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)>
To: "Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ" <(b) (6)>
Date: Sat, 30 Jun 2018 13:17:17 -0400
Attachment(s): CEQ NEPA ANPRM_Comment Period Extension_06302018.docx (43.49 kB)

Viktoria,

Thank you very much for your comments.

Please let me know if you have any comments.

From: Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, June 29, 2018 5:18 PM
To: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Comment Extension Package for NEPA ANPRM

Suggested edits attached.

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, June 29, 2018 2:05 PM
To: Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Comment Extension Package for NEPA ANPRM

Per Mary's edits, please find a revised version attached.

From: Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 4:59 PM
To: Mary B. EOP/CEQ Neumayr <(b) (6)>
To: Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ Seale <(b) (6)>
Subject: Comment Extension Package for NEPA ANPRM

Hey Mary and Viktoria,

Please see attached a draft comment period extension notice.
Let me know if you have any comments or questions.

Aaron L. Szabo
Senior Counsel
Council on Environmental Quality

(b) (6) (Desk)
(b) (6) (Cell)
The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is extending the public comment period on the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM), which was originally scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, through August 20, 2018. CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period. The notice of the extension of the ANPRM is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register tomorrow, July 11, 2018. The pre-publication version of the notice is attached to this email and available here.

Sincerely,

Michael Drummond
Deputy Associate Director for NEPA
Council on Environmental Quality
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1504, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508

[Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001]

RIN: 0331-AA03

Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 20, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act.” The CEQ is extending the comment period on the ANPRM, which was scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, for 31 days until August 20, 2018. The CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification number CEQ-2018-0001 through the Federal eRulemaking portal at https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments
cannot be edited or removed from https://www.regulations.gov. CEQ may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (e.g., audio, video) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make.

Comments may also be submitted by mail. Send your comments to: Council on Environmental Quality, 730 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Docket No. CEQ–2018–0001.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 20, 2018, CEQ published an ANPRM titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” in the Federal Register (83 FR 28591). The original deadline to submit comments was July 20, 2018. This action extends the comment period for 31 days to ensure the public has sufficient time to review and comment on the ANPRM. Written comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2018.

Mary B. Neumayr,
RE: Update

From: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ" </o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlit)/cn=recipients/cn=2712a19fd57447088e0b9da580c16e15-ma>
To: "Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)"
Date: Fri, 13 Jul 2018 16:16:37 -0400

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: Update

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena
Hello Yardena,

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena
RE: Questions, please review

From: "Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ" (c=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fylbho/23spdf/ci=recipients/cn=e45de0bb5c9e87a4c04528ec12a7b03-sm")

"Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ" (b) (6) "Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ" (b) (6) "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ"

To: "Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ" (b) (6) "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ"

Date: Mon, 16 Jul 2018 16:58:48 -0400

Attachment(s): Draft Questions.docx (21.4 kB)

Adjusted spacing

From: Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:52 PM
To: Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ, Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ, Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ, Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ, Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ

Subject: Questions, please review

Please review this document now, if possible. Thank you!

Theresa L. Pettigrew
Associate Director for Legislative Affairs
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President
(202) 456-6546 (office)
(202) 456-6546 (fax)
www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
RE: Questions, please review

From: "Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ" <b (6)>
"Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ" <b (6)>
"Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ" <b (6)>
"Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ"
To: EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
"Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ"
"Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ"
Date: Mon, 16 Jul 2018 17:01:40 -0400
Attachment s: Draft Questions DS.docx (24.36 kB)

Minor suggestions.

From: Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:59 PM
To: Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Subject: RE: Questions, please review

Adjusted spacing

From: Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:52 PM
To: Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ <b (6)>
Subject: Questions, please review

Please review this document now, if possible. (b (5))
Thank you!
Theresa

Theresa L. Pettigrew
Associate Director for Legislative Affairs
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President
(office) (202) 456-6546 (fax)
www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
RE: Questions, please review

From: "Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ" "Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ" "Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ" "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ"

To: "Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ" "Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ"

Date: Mon, 16 Jul 2018 16:58:53 -0400

Attachments
Draft Questions.docx (21.4 kB)

Adjusted spacing

From: Pettigrew, Theresa L. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:52 PM
To: Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ Schneider, Daniel J. EOP/CEQ Herrgott, Alex H. EOP/CEQ Smith, Katherine R. EOP/CEQ

Subject: Questions, please review

Please review this document now, if possible. Thank you!
Theresa

Theresa L. Pettigrew
Associate Director for Legislative Affairs
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President
(202) 456-6546 (office)
www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
Please review this document now, if possible. Thank you!

Theresa

Theresa L. Pettigrew
Associate Director for Legislative Affairs
Council on Environmental Quality
Executive Office of the President
(202) 456-6546 (office)
www.whitehouse.gov/ceq
RE: Update

From: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ" <%/o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative
group (fydibohf23spdl)c=recipient/cn=2712a19f57447088e0b9da580c16e15-ma"
To: "Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)"
Date: Mon, 16 Jul 2018 16:10:38 -0400

Good, thanks. I agree.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:04 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,

I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.
I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,

Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: Update

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena
Hello Yardena,

I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

---

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

---

Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin
Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena
2:30 sounds good! Thank you.

I’ll start at 393.

How about meeting at 2:30?

Hi Yardena,

I have from 355 – 428 left! If you want to split them, I can do 355 – 392 if you want to take the last half! I was also wondering if you would be available to meet sometime this afternoon to discuss the campaigns I’ve identified. I believe there are three of them, but one of them varies more than the others, and I’m not sure if the messages are similar enough to be considered the same campaign message. I don’t have any meetings this afternoon so I am free at any time that may be convenient for you!

Best,

Erin Carlin

How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?
From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ  
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:11 PM  
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)  
Subject: RE: Update  

Good, thanks. I agree.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)  
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:04 PM  
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ  
Subject: RE: Update  

Hello Yardena,  

I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.  

Best,  

Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ  
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 4:17 PM  
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)  
Subject: RE: Update  

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)  
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM  
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ  
Subject: RE: Update  

Hello Yardena,  

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60-90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.
I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,

Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: Update

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena
RE: Update

From: "Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)"<br>
To: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ"

Date: Tue, 17 Jul 2018 14:21:53 -0400
Attachments: 02 ANOPR Comment Log to Erin 7-12 for updates.xlsx (57.39 kB)

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 2:20 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: Re: Update

I think the problem just got fixed. Let's meet at my office.

On Jul 17, 2018, at 2:16 PM, Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) wrote:

My computer has been working! We can meet in my office if you would like.

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 2:16 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Are you having computer problems? I can't connect to the network.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:28 AM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

2:30 sounds good! Thank you.

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:24 AM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update
I’ll start at 393.
How about meeting at 2:30?

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:19 AM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hi Yardena,

I have from 355 - 428 left! If you want to split them, I can do 355 - 392 if you want to take the last half! I was also wondering if you would be available to meet sometime this afternoon to discuss the campaigns I’ve identified. I believe there are three of them, but one of them varies more than the others, and I’m not sure if the messages are similar enough to be considered the same campaign message. I don’t have any meetings this afternoon so I am free at any time that may be convenient for you!

Best,

Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:12 AM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:11 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks. I agree.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:04 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update
Hello Yardena,

I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: Update

Erin,
I'd like to leave some notes for Ted's arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I'll add something.

Yardena
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column1</th>
<th>Column3</th>
<th>Column6</th>
<th>Column62</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Thomas King</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Offers thoughts on whether and how to revise NEPA implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Thomas King</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Objects to questions; re-imagine NEPA from scratch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>John Roberts</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Do not make changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Larry Freilich</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Page and time limits may cause additional work, restrict information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Rue Eich</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Do not make changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>David Keys</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Implementation has adapted, little change needed to regs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Daniel Holt</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Re-adopt GHG guidance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Michael Dechter</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Page limits make EIS less useful, add work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Anonymous Anonymous</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Save all environmental protection provisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Jennifer Blegen</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>(Comments concern EPA.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Judith Konig</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Retain protections for air, water, wildlife.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ronald Estepp</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Against changing NEPA role of scientists and public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Env. Law &amp; Policy Center, Howard Learner</td>
<td>Extension 1</td>
<td>Requests 60-day extension, public hearings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Whitney Kroschel</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Need better justification for changing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>David Hill</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>States specific provisions not to change and general opposition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Stephen Buckley</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>NEPA community has interest in no change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Michel Hammes</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Do not make changes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Susan LaSala</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>NEPA does not need an overhaul.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Assn. of Metr. Water Agencies, Diane VanDe Hei; American Water Works Assoc., Tracy Mehan</td>
<td>Extension 1</td>
<td>Requests 60-day extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Jacob Siegel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Address climate change, retain public involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Susan Chapin</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Burdens, delay may protect future health, vitality of environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Amer. Soc. of Civil Engineers, Natalie Mamerow</td>
<td>Extension 1</td>
<td>Requests 60-day extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Russell Hodin</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>Requests 60 day extension, public forums, mail option for commenting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Western Urban Water Coalition, Michael Carlin</td>
<td>Extension 1</td>
<td>Requests 60-day extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Marilyn Price</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to rollback of NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Patricia Always</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Preserve the strength of NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Elizabeth Tachick</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>We need govt transparency, input on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Nora Rawn</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Preserve public comment, consideration of EJ communities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Dobi Dobrosiawa</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Concerned about possibly weakened NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Jeffrey Waggoner</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Leave NEPA alone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Andrew Hawkins</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Retain public comment and involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Nasreen Hosein</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Against updates to NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Tim Chapp</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Update to streamline, but retain EPA and state review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Responses</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Organization / Name</td>
<td>In Scope?</td>
<td>Att.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Salt River Project, Kara Montalo</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Kathy Mohar</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Retain public and other agency involvement in NEPA process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Sarah David</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Importance of public review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Alison Prost</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Charles Johnson</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Recommends NEPA pre-planning approach based on FERC and BLM (cover letter and paper)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Utility Water Act Group, Karma Brown</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Caiqian Cropper</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Prioritize transparency, community input over synchronization, efficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Steve Tyler</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>No rollback.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>John Anderson</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Beverly Railsback</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Do not weaken NEPA, requests 90-day extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Harry and Jill Brownfield</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Kym Garcia</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Norma Van Dyke</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Richard Van Aken</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Amy Harlib</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Thomas Koven</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Marlena Lange</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Catherine Smith</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Thomas Carlo</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Frances DeMillion</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Grace Ramus</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Jeanne Held-Warmkessel</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Rachel Crowley</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Joanne Wagner</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Wanda Hofbauer</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Green Party of Philadelphia, Chris</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: similar to 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Jane Winn</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Michael W Evans</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>George Trovato</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Janet Cavallo</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Valerie Lucznikowska</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Leona and George Fluck</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Hilarie Johnston</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Debra Mobile</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Janice Banks</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Park Furlong</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Vince Mendieta</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Park Furlong</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Nicole Rahman</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Dennis O'Brien</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Anne Jackson</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Mr Lombardi</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>karin peklok</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Ronald Gulla</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Edward Thornton</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Lorenz Steininger</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Number of Responses</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Bryn Hammarstrom, RN</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Jeffrey Laubach</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Lenore Reeves</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Melvin Czechowski</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Elizabeth Thompson</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>David Kagan</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Marc Obernesser</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>James Rosenthal</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Mary Ann Leitch</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Susan Nierenberg</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Jeffrey Shuben</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Rebecca Canright</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Amy Hansen</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Patricia Rossi</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Mark Canright</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Susan VanMeter</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Margaret McGinnis</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to weakening NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Mark Dodel</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Kathie E Takush</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Patricia Libbey</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Carl Doll</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Ikuhy erdwq</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Re Wind power in German and solar in China.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Bonnie Stoecki</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Marvin Feil</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Clifford Phillips</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Lawrence Stauffer</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Lawrence Stauffer</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Cindy Carlin</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>JOHN PASQUA</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>Nicholas Lenchner</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>Susan Shaak</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>Lydia Garvey</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>MH Higgins</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>Suzanne Roth</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Jessica Reed</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Steve Mattan</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>Craig Way</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>Juliann Pinto</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>Rebecca Berlant</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>Ellis Woodward</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>William Kellner</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>Bettie Reina</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>Mare McClellan</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Eric Bae</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>Christopher Kratzer</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Opposes revising NEPA; requests 90-day extension.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>Tom Hoffman</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>Chuck Graver</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Kelley Scanlon</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Marion M Kyde Ph.D.</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>William Huston</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Responses</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>394</td>
<td># 9 8 # 9 # 8 7 8 7 6 6 6 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 6 5 6 5 6 7 8 5 7 4 8 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log Organization / Name</td>
<td>In Scope?</td>
<td>Att.</td>
<td>Overview/Notable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Rob Moore</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Susan Babitt</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>Elizabeth A. Roedell</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>Steve Troyanovich</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>Rosemarie Brenner</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>Leslie Sauer</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>Sue Harmon</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Do not change NEPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>Katie Chapp</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Consider well-informed remarks, lengthen comment period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>Joseph Holmes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Do not make any changes (cites all questions).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>David Mathews</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Favors changes for efficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>M D</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Preserve environmental stewardship while streamlining NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>Shane Worth</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>Ryan Dodson</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>Adam Eyring</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>Mara Tippett</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>Nichole Diamond</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>Joshua Fine</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>Bibianna Dussling</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>Kathleen Rengert</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>Peggy Miro</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Carol Schmidt</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>Joseph Quirk</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>Laura Miskny</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>Louise Sellon</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>Vincent Prudente</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>Mary McMahon</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Elizabeth Seltzer</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>Margaret Quinn</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>Lloyd Goodman</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>John and Janice Hahn</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>Yolanda Stern Broad Ph.D.</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>Patti Packer</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>Erik McDarby</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>Gregory Esteve</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>Kate Sherwood</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>Aaron Fumarola</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Peter Donnelly</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Yvonne De Carolis</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Ellen Weininger</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>Patricia Swanton</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>Carol Armstrong</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>Ruth Heil</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>Marilyn Miller</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>Robert Adams</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>Gail Musante</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>Peter Mulshine</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>P Scoville</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>Curtis Baker</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>Marilyn Miller</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Organization / Name</td>
<td>In Scope?</td>
<td>Att.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>Joe Busby</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>Anneke Walsh</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Frederick Stilka</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>Sarah Benton</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>Andrew Benton</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>Park Furlong</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>William Edelman</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>John Dunphy</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>Jason Kempke</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>Robert Depew</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Gary Hinesley</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>Jose Almanzar</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Lisa Levine</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>Vicki Dodge</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>Cathy Snyder</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>Justin Pidot</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Aurora Janke</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Megan Flaherty</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>Elizabeth Ike</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Tom Petersen</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>Alliance for the Great Lakes, Sheyda Eshaashari</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>Denise Lytle</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>Henry Berkowitz</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>Ronald Bishop</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>Collin Keyes</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>Andrea Zinn</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>Bob Nebel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>Gulshan Seker</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>Faith Zerbe</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>B Soltis</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>Diana Rarig</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Dennis Grzezinski</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>Theodore Doll</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>Western New York Environmental Alliance, Lynda Schneekloth</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Suzanne McCarthy</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>Grace Bergin</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Janet Eisenhauer</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>Jarline Soffian</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Organization / Name</td>
<td>In Scope?</td>
<td>Overview/Notable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>Great Egg Harbor Watershed Association, Fred Akers</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>Mark Simcoe</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Don't change NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>Michael Litzky</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to proposed revisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>Geri Weitzman</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to proposed revisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>Wendy Redal</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to revisions to NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>Western Resource Advocates, Robert Harris</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 Believes in the goals of the rulemaking but not in the execution. Suggests reform of the implementation of NEPA rather than of its regulations. Cites examples from Lean Event in Colorado.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>Aaron Miller</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Consider that the resources of agencies that conduct NEPA reviews are low so expediting the process will cost the public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>Gregory Esteve</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to any change in NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Craig Wallentine</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to any change in NEPA unless it is to strengthen it. Cites examples in Utah of why NEPA is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>Sara Schultz</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: similar to 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>The Partnership Project, Justin McCarthy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Represents 352 organizations; requests 60-day extension public forums and mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>Robert Shippee</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to any change in NEPA unless it is to strengthen it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>Marlene Israel</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to any change in NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>William Blount</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep NEPA intact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>Christopher Jannusch</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep NEPA intact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>Jerre stallcup</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep NEPA intact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>Eric Hirst</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to weakening NEPA but believes there could be improvements made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>Michael Kellett</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposes changes to NEPA. Problems in implementation lie in lack of adherence to laws and regs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>Nicole Quinn</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: similar to 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>Andy Puckett</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep NEPA intact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242</td>
<td>Susan Dixon</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: similar to 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>Andrew McGrath</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244</td>
<td>Barbara Halpern</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>Lynn Koster</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>David Goebel</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: similar to 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>Ben Luccaro</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td>Vicki Barg</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: similar to 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>249</td>
<td>Deborah Kratzer</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>Lauren Greenawalt</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>Corey White</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep NEPA intact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252</td>
<td>Illinois Council of Trout Unlimited, Edward Michael</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>253</td>
<td>Carl Erdmann</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep NEPA intact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Number of Responses</td>
<td>Organization / Name</td>
<td>In Scope?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254</td>
<td>Rush Hardin</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Att.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>Ken Gamauf</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256</td>
<td>Susan Meacham</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257</td>
<td>Cindy Eby</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>258</td>
<td>Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy, Eric Lindberg</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259</td>
<td>Amy Harlib</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>Maryland Nonprofits, Henry Bogdan</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261</td>
<td>Sarah Gutierrez</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262</td>
<td>James Quealy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263</td>
<td>E. O’Halloran</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>Lorraine Gold</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265</td>
<td>Great Basin Water Network, Abigail Johnson</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266</td>
<td>Caitlin Caldwell,</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267</td>
<td>Claire Nordlie</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268</td>
<td>Laurie Whittle</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269</td>
<td>Duchesne County, Utah, Michael Hyde</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>Jonathan Oppenheimer</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271</td>
<td>Ben Barnes</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272</td>
<td>Katherine Dawes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273</td>
<td>Tyler Wean</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274</td>
<td>Jamie Woody</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275</td>
<td>Nathan Miler</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276</td>
<td>Zachary Smith</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277</td>
<td>For Love of Water (FLOW), Liz Kirkwood</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278</td>
<td>Robin Beard</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279</td>
<td>Ohio Wetlands Association, Mark Dilley</td>
<td>Gen / Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Responses</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>#6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jody Carrara</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrea Nagel</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debbie Boucher</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep NEPA as it is.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Barnette</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>favors keeping NEPA as is and requests a 60-day extension.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Demuth</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Answers several questions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Parry</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Opposed to weakening NEPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard Heisler</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep NEPA intact. Cites an article he wrote.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Verkamp</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: similar to 0278</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Cook</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Leave NEPA alone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Agency for Monterey County, Debra Hale</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Answers two questions. Letter is identical to post, except for contact information on bottom.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelle Mehlhorn</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Keep environment safe and beautiful and preserve wildlife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Hall</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Leave NEPA alone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Howard</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Purpose of review is unclear and is a giveaway to corporations. Don't make provisions unless they are to increase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Answers several questions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Answers several questions. (May be same person as 293)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of Milwaukee's Downtown Forest, Barbara Richards</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Requests 60-day extension.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Answers several questions (May be same person as 293)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Answers several questions (May be same person as 293)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecelia Phillips</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Don't change NEPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackie Cash</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Concerned with proposed changes and cites importance of EISs. States a quote from Mike Ferguson that he agrees with.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cindy Eby</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 0047</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy Sailer</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Don't change NEPA and do not give states control over public land.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Don't change NEPA implementation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavaughn Hamblin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Wants a mechanism for federal agencies that shows likely cumulative impacts for project area and wants a practical definition for cumulative impacts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavaughn Hamblin</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Wants reduction in paperwork and repitition produced by NEPA. Believes that NEPA reviews and approvals can be streamlined electronically.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>&quot;NEPA and MAGA are like two peas in a pod&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Leave NEPA alone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kay Barrett</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Don't change NEPA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gena Goodman-Campbell</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lytton Rancheria of California, Brenda Tomaras</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Requests extension.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous</td>
<td>Gen /Extension</td>
<td>Keep NEPA intact and extend comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Organization / Name</td>
<td>Scope?</td>
<td>Overview/Notable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>Gail Harris</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>312</td>
<td>Emily Estrada</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>Amy Hunter</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
<td>Ben Gordon</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>Sarah Graham</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316</td>
<td>Matthew Anonymous</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Answers several questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>Leigh Schwarz</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: similar to 222; Stresses importance of public input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>Karen Sinclair</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222; retain current policy regarding decisions about the environment that enforce maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>Concerned citizen in Bend Oregon</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>Mark McCormick</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222; cites importance of citizens having an equal voice regarding managing and protecting land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321</td>
<td>Aryeh Frankfurter</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td>Darryl Lloyd</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>Freda Sherburne</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222; stresses importance of public input.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>Marsha Swanson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>Jeff Pokorny</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Don't change NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>stephen gerould</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327</td>
<td>Rebeckah Berry</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td>Diana Pope</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329</td>
<td>Hardin King</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>Bruce Jackson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Don't change NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>Dan Struble</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td>Debra Rehn</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Re: Sinclair-Tribune Merger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>Noel Plemmons</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>334</td>
<td>J Blagen</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335</td>
<td>Susan Strible</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>336</td>
<td>Delwin R Holland</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Don't change NEPA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>337</td>
<td>San Diego State University, Roger Sabbadini</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>Andrea Pellicani</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339</td>
<td>Sandra Thompson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>340</td>
<td>Alan Bartl</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>341</td>
<td>Kelsey Ward</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>Sandra Mooney</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>John costello</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344</td>
<td>David Funk</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Same to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>345</td>
<td>David Kaiser</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Same to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>346</td>
<td>Sharon Evoy</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222** (original comment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>347</td>
<td>Janeese Jackson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348</td>
<td>Beth Levin</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>349</td>
<td>Dorothy Wylie</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>James Miller</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222; Don't take away safeguards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351</td>
<td>Christopher Troxell</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: same as 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>352</td>
<td>Keith Harris</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Campaign: Similar to 222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Organization / Name</td>
<td>In Scope?</td>
<td>Att.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>Pamela Green</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>354</td>
<td>Great Old Broads for Wilderness, Susan Ostlie</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355</td>
<td>Maureen Rogers</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>356</td>
<td>Lily Frey</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>357</td>
<td>American Citizen</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>358</td>
<td>Kay Nelson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>359</td>
<td>Walter Kueje</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>David Cooper</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>David Worley</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>362</td>
<td>Bill Smith</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>363</td>
<td>Gary Kish</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364</td>
<td>John Richen</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365</td>
<td>James Davis</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>Margaret Wolf</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>367</td>
<td>Kristen Swanson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>368</td>
<td>Kevin Brown</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>369</td>
<td>Christine McKenzie</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>370</td>
<td>LeeAnn Krieh</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371</td>
<td>Fuji Kreider</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>372</td>
<td>Pete Sandrock</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373</td>
<td>Joanne Diepenheim</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>374</td>
<td>Environmental Protection Agency, Rebecca Ramage</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375</td>
<td>Catherine Williams</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td>Duke University, Ilan Bubb</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377</td>
<td>Mike Farley</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378</td>
<td>Cindy Thomas</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379</td>
<td>Steven Haycock</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380</td>
<td>Cheryl Ferguson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>Sandi Cornez</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>382</td>
<td>Craig Loftin</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383</td>
<td>Jane Heisler</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>384</td>
<td>Brad Stevens</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>Annette Ancel-Wisner</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>386</td>
<td>Derek Gendvil</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387</td>
<td>Kevin Mansion</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>Carolyn Eckel</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Organization / Name</td>
<td>In Scope?</td>
<td>Att.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389</td>
<td>rosalind o'donoghue</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>Oregon Natural Desert Association, Katie Kelley</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391</td>
<td>Priscilla Galasso</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>Tim Breinski</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393</td>
<td>Kate Walter</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>Lisa Jones</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395</td>
<td>Denis Besson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396</td>
<td>David Regan</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397</td>
<td>Anonymous Anonymous</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398</td>
<td>Martha Ahern</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>John Nettleton</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>Linda Watts, ONDA</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401</td>
<td>Oregon Natural Desert Association, Peter Nunnenkamp</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>Rick Ray</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>Judy Merrick</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>Seth Hanson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>Tara Miner</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>John Murphy</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407</td>
<td>Anonymous Anonymous</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408</td>
<td>Donald Mansfield</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409</td>
<td>Brian M.</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>Brooke Wickham</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>Akila Mosier</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>Jennifer Goebel</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>Linda Greaves</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>Oregon Natural Desert Association, Alan Winter</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>George and Frances Alderson</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>Lynn Norris</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417</td>
<td>Amalie Duvall</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>418</td>
<td>Amy Wolfberg</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>419</td>
<td>Joshua Bleecher Snyder</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>David Beltz</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>Allex McDaniel</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>Susan Harmon</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>Robert Currie</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>Geoff King</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>Gary Landers</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>Peggy McConnell</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>Oregon Natural Desert Association, Mackenzie Clark</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>Anonymous Anonymous</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td></td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td></td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log</td>
<td>Organization / Name</td>
<td>In Scope?</td>
<td>Att.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>Katherine Delanoy (?)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-0001</td>
<td>Katherine Delanoy (?)</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC-0002</td>
<td>Schemy (?)</td>
<td>General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-0001</td>
<td>The Partnership Project (353 orgs.)</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-0002</td>
<td>The Nature Conservancy, Karen Onley</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-0003</td>
<td>Attorneys General of WA, MD, MA, NJ, NY,</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-0004</td>
<td>36 law professors with NEPA expertise</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEPA Process:

1. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure that environmental reviews and authorization decisions involving multiple agencies are conducted in a manner that is concurrent, synchronized, timely, and efficient, and if so, how?

2. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to make the NEPA process more efficient by better facilitating agency use of environmental studies, analysis, and decisions conducted in earlier Federal, State, tribal, or local environmental reviews or authorization decisions, and if so, how?

3. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure optimal interagency coordination of environmental reviews and authorization decisions, and if so, how?

Scope of NEPA Review:

4. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations that relate to the format and page length of NEPA documents and time limits for completion be revised, and if so, how?

5. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to provide greater clarity to ensure NEPA documents better focus on significant issues that are relevant and useful to decisionmakers and the public, and if so, how?

6. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to public involvement be revised to be more inclusive and efficient, and if so, how?

7. Should definitions of any key NEPA terms in CEQ’s NEPA regulations, such as those listed below, be revised, and if so, how?
   7a. Major Federal Action;
   7b. Effects;
   7c. Cumulative Impact;
   7d. Significantly;
   7e. Scope; and
   7f. Other NEPA terms.

8. Should any new definitions of key NEPA terms, such as those noted below, be added, and if so, which terms?
   8a. Alternatives;
   8b. Purpose and Need;
   8c. Reasonably Foreseeable;
   8d. Trivial Violation; and
   8e. Other NEPA terms.

9. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to any of the types of documents listed below be revised, and if so, how?
   9a. Notice of Intent;
   9b. Categorical Exclusions Documentation;
   9c. Environmental Assessments;
   9d. Findings of No Significant Impact;
   9e. Environmental Impact Statements;
   9f. Records of Decision; and
   9g. Supplements.

10. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to the timing of agency action be revised, and if so, how?

11. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to agency responsibility and the preparation of NEPA documents by contractors and project applicants be revised, and if so, how?

12. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to programmatic NEPA documents and tiering be revised, and if so, how?

13. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to the appropriate range of alternatives in NEPA reviews and which alternatives may be eliminated from detailed analysis be revised, and if so, how?

General:

14. Are any provisions of the CEQ’s NEPA regulations currently obsolete? If so, please provide specific recommendations on whether they should be modified, rescinded, or replaced.

15. Which provisions of the CEQ’s NEPA regulations can be updated to reflect new technologies that can be used to make the process more efficient?

16. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to promote coordination of environmental review and authorization decisions, such as combining NEPA analysis and other decision documents, and if so, how?

17. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the implementation of NEPA, and if so, how?

18. Are there ways in which the role of tribal governments in the NEPA process should be clarified in CEQ’s NEPA regulations, and if so, how?

19. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to ensure that agencies apply NEPA in a manner that reduce unnecessary burdens and delays as much as possible, and if so, how?

20. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations related to mitigation should be revised, and if so, how?
Re: Update

From: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ" 
To: "Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)"

Date: Tue, 17 Jul 2018 14:20:04 -0400

I think the problem just got fixed. Let's meet at my office.

On Jul 17, 2018, at 2:16 PM, Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) wrote:

My computer has been working! We can meet in my office if you would like.

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ.
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 2:16 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Are you having computer problems? I can't connect to the network.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:28 AM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

2:30 sounds good! Thank you.

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:24 AM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

I'll start at 393.
How about meeting at 2:30?

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:19 AM
Hi Yardena,

I have from 355 – 428 left! If you want to split them, I can do 355 – 392 if you want to take the last half! I was also wondering if you would be available to meet sometime this afternoon to discuss the campaigns I’ve identified. I believe there are three of them, but one of them varies more than the others, and I’m not sure if the messages are similar enough to be considered the same campaign message. I don’t have any meetings this afternoon so I am free at any time that may be convenient for you!

Best,

Erin Carlin

How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?

Good, thanks. I agree.

Hello Yardena,

I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)  
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ  
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)  
Subject: Update

Erin,

I'd like to leave some notes for Ted's arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I'll add something.

Yardena
RE: Update

From: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ" <"o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=2712a19fd57447088e0b9da580c16e15-ma">
To: "Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)"
Date: Tue, 17 Jul 2018 14:16:11 -0400

Are you having computer problems? I can't connect to the network.

---

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:28 AM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

2:30 sounds good! Thank you.

---

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:24 AM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

I'll start at 393.

How about meeting at 2:30?

---

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:19 AM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hi Yardena,

I have from 355 – 428 left! If you want to split them, I can do 355 – 392 if you want to take the last half! I was also wondering if you would be available to meet sometime this afternoon to discuss the campaigns I've identified. I believe there are three of them, but one of them varies more than the others, and I'm not sure if the messages are similar enough to be considered the same campaign message. I don't have any meetings this afternoon so I am free at any time that may be convenient for you!

Best,

Erin Carlin
From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:12 AM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:11 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks. I agree.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:04 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,
I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update
Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <(b)(6)>
Subject: Update

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena
Hi Yardena,

I have from 355 – 428 left! If you want to split them, I can do 355 – 392 if you want to take the last half! I was also wondering if you would be available to meet sometime this afternoon to discuss the campaigns I’ve identified. I believe there are three of them, but one of them varies more than the others, and I’m not sure if the messages are similar enough to be considered the same campaign message. I don’t have any meetings this afternoon so I am free at any time that may be convenient for you!

Best,

Erin Carlin

How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?

Good, thanks. I agree.

Hello Yardena,
I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <b>(6)<br>
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ <b>(6)<br>
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <b>(6)<br>
Subject: Update

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.
Yardena
RE: Update

From: "Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)"<b>(6)</b>
To: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ"<b>(6)</b>
Date: Tue, 17 Jul 2018 14:20:30 -0400

Ok! I will send my updated spreadsheet as well.

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 2:20 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <b>(6)
Subject: Re: Update

I think the problem just got fixed. Let's meet at my office.

On Jul 17, 2018, at 2:16 PM, Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <b>(6) wrote:

My computer has been working! We can meet in my office if you would like.

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 2:16 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <b>(6)
Subject: RE: Update

Are you having computer problems? I can't connect to the network.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:28 AM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ <b>(6)
Subject: RE: Update

2:30 sounds good! Thank you.

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:24 AM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <b>(6)
Subject: RE: Update

I’ll start at 393.
How about meeting at 2:30?

Hi Yardena,

I have from 355–428 left! If you want to split them, I can do 355–392 if you want to take the last half! I was also wondering if you would be available to meet sometime this afternoon to discuss the campaigns I've identified. I believe there are three of them, but one of them varies more than the others, and I'm not sure if the messages are similar enough to be considered the same campaign message. I don't have any meetings this afternoon so I am free at any time that may be convenient for you!

Best,

Erin Carlin

How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?

Good, thanks. I agree.

Hello Yardena,
I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

---

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <(b) (6)>
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

---

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ <(b) (6)>
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 - 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

---

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern) <(b) (6)>
Subject: Update

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.
My computer has been working! We can meet in my office if you would like.

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ  
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 2:16 PM  
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)  
Subject: RE: Update

Are you having computer problems? I can’t connect to the network.

2:30 sounds good! Thank you.

I’ll start at 393.  
How about meeting at 2:30?

Hi Yardena,  
I have from 355 – 428 left! If you want to split them, I can do 355 – 392 if you want to take the last half! I was also wondering if you would be available to meet sometime this afternoon to discuss the campaigns I’ve identified. I believe there are three of them, but one of them varies more than the others, and I’m
not sure if the messages are similar enough to be considered the same campaign message. I don’t have any meetings this afternoon so I am free at any time that may be convenient for you!

Best,

Erin Carlin

---

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:12 AM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?

---

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:11 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks. I agree.

---

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:04 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,
I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

---

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update
Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:49 PM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 3:30 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: Update

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena
RE: Update

From: "Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ" <"o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlit)/cn=recipients/cn=2712a19f574470880b9da580c16e15-ma">
To: "Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)"
Date: Tue, 17 Jul 2018 11:23:36 -0400

I'll start at 393.
How about meeting at 2:30?

From: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:19 AM
To: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: RE: Update

Hi Yardena,
I have from 355 - 428 left! If you want to split them, I can do 355 - 392 if you want to take the last half! I was also wondering if you would be available to meet sometime this afternoon to discuss the campaigns I've identified. I believe there are three of them, but one of them varies more than the others, and I'm not sure if the messages are similar enough to be considered the same campaign message. I don't have any meetings this afternoon so I am free at any time that may be convenient for you!

Best,
Erin Carlin

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 11:12 AM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?

From: Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Sent: Monday, July 16, 2018 4:11 PM
To: Carlin, Erin A. EOP/CEQ (Intern)
Subject: RE: Update

Good, thanks. I agree.
Hello Yardena,

I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

---

Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin
Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena
How much is left in your batch? Shall we split the remaining ones?

Hello Yardena,
I have been going through the new comments you assigned me, and I believe “Anonymous Anonymous” with the ID numbers of 293, 294, 296, and 297 is the same person because the questions are answered sequentially throughout these four entries.

Best,
Erin Carlin

Good, thanks! Have a great weekend.
Hello Yardena,

The overarching pattern I saw in the comments that I was assigned on Tuesday was that most commenters opposed any weakening of NEPA, requested a comment period extension of 60 – 90 days, or expressed both of these. Regarding the specific content of the comments, I would say that many commenters did not address specific questions that were in the ANPRM, but rather cited the general importance of NEPA and public involvement in the process.

I started the additional comments this morning so I don’t know how different the content of the second set will be, but I believe that the summary I included above is a good indication of the content of the first set.

Please let me know if there is anything else I can help with as well!

Best,
Erin Carlin

Erin,

I’d like to leave some notes for Ted’s arrival Monday. Do you want to say anything about the screening of the comments? It can be a sentence or two. Send to me and I’ll add something.

Yardena

From: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ" <o=exchange organization/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlf)/cn=recipients/cn=af5f6888d706481b94d18088a30821c9-se>

"Neumayr, Mary B. EOP/CEQ" "Szabo, Aaron L. EOP/CEQ" "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ"

To: "Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ"

Date: Wed, 18 Jul 2018 18:16:53 -0400

Attachment(s): Final-Recommendation-2012-5-Improving-Agency-Coordination.pdf (201.42 kB)

FYI – we received the email below and attachment from the Administrative Conference of the United States today.

From: Todd Rubin <TRubin@acus.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:30 AM

To: Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ

Subject: Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001: Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

Dear Viktoria,

We thank the Council on Environmental Quality for issuing this Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. We believe that ACUS’s Recommendation 2012-5, Improving Coordination of Related Agency Responsibilities, 77 Fed. Reg. 47,810, 47,810 (Aug. 10, 2012) offers guidance that the CEQ would likely find useful as it considers updating its National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations. Although the Recommendation does not address NEPA, we believe that overall, it offers helpful guidance on portions of the following questions:

1) “Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure that environmental reviews and authorization decisions involving multiple agencies are conducted in a manner that is concurrent, synchronized, timely, and efficient, and if so, how?”

3) “Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure optimal interagency coordination of environmental reviews and authorization decisions, and if so, how?”

16) “Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to promote coordination of environmental review and authorization decisions, such as combining NEPA analysis and other decision documents, and if so, how?”

A copy of the Recommendation is attached.
Please let us know if you have any questions. Thank you again.

Best regards,

Todd Rubin

Todd Rubin | Attorney Advisor

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

1120 20th Street, NW Suite 706 South, Washington, DC, 20036
(202) 480-2097 (o) (202) 386-7190 (f)
trubin@acus.gov >www.acus.gov<
Administrative Conference Recommendation 2012-5

Improving Coordination of Related Agency Responsibilities

Adopted June 15, 2012

Many areas of government agency activities are characterized by fragmented and overlapping delegations of power to administrative agencies. Congress often assigns more than one agency the same or similar functions or divides responsibilities among multiple agencies, giving each responsibility for part of a larger whole. Instances of overlap and fragmentation are common. They can be found throughout the administrative state, in virtually every sphere of social and economic regulation, in contexts ranging from border security to food safety to financial regulation.¹ The following recommendation suggests some reforms aimed at improving coordination of agency policymaking, including joint rulemaking, interagency agreements, and agency consultation provisions.

The study underlying this recommendation² provides a comprehensive picture of overlapping and fragmented delegations, and makes some practical suggestions for addressing


the coordination problems they create. Because characterizing such delegations as redundant might suggest literal duplication, the study adopts the more nuanced concept of “shared regulatory space.” This term includes not only literally duplicative or overlapping responsibilities, but also instances where cumulative statutory delegations create a situation in which agencies share closely related responsibilities for different aspects of a larger regulatory, programmatic, or management enterprise.

Such delegations may produce redundancy, inefficiency, and gaps, but they also create underappreciated coordination challenges. A key advantage to such delegations may be the potential to harness the expertise and competencies of specialized agencies. But that potential can be wasted if the agencies work at cross-purposes or fail to capitalize on one another’s unique strengths and perspectives. By improving efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability, coordination can help to overcome potential dysfunctions created by shared regulatory space. Greater coordination can reduce costs for both the government and regulated entities not only by avoiding literal duplication of functions but also by increasing opportunities for agencies exercising related responsibilities to manage and reconcile differences in approach. Coordination that takes the form of interagency consultation can improve the overall quality of decisionmaking by introducing multiple perspectives and specialized knowledge, and structuring opportunities for agencies mutually to test their information and ideas. Coordination instruments can also equip and incentivize agencies to monitor each other constructively, which should help both the President and Congress to better manage agency policy choices and compliance with statutes. It is plausible too, that greater coordination will make it harder for interest groups to capture the administrative process or to play agencies against each other.

Much coordination occurs against the backdrop of day-to-day, informal interactions among agency staffs, including casual conversations, meetings, and working groups. However,

---

3 The underlying study and this recommendation focus on federal government agencies only, and do not address the coordination problems presented more generally by federalism due to dispersed responsibilities between federal and state governments.
systematic efforts to institutionalize coordination (as opposed to relying exclusively on the ad
hoc coordination that occurs as a matter of course among agencies) will tend to be more stable,
visible, and durable than relying only on informal networks for promoting interagency
interactions. This recommendation does not purport to address all agency interactions, but
focuses on the processes and instruments agencies use to memorialize agency interactions and
agreements. In such instances, this recommendation endorses documented coordination
policies to help formalize ad hoc approaches and provide useful guidelines for agency staff.
Coordination policies can be top-down, through the President’s leadership, as well as bottom-
up, beginning with agencies themselves.

Presidential leadership can be helpful in addressing the challenges posed by fragmented
and overlapping delegations, especially in instances where there is conflict among agencies,
inability of agency staffs to coordinate, or a reluctance of agency officials to work together.
Components of the Executive Office of the President (EOP) with relevant policy expertise may
be well positioned to promote coordination in their respective domains, and efforts in this
regard could be bolstered. The EOP can play a crucial role in fostering coordination by
establishing priorities, convening the relevant agencies, and managing a process that is
conducive to producing agreement. For example, the White House Office of Energy and Climate
Change Policy has been credited with facilitating the joint rulemaking effort of EPA and the
Department of Transportation, which produced new fuel efficiency and greenhouse gas
standards,4 and the EOP played a central role in convening and coordinating the nine-agency
memorandum of understanding on siting of transmission lines on federal lands.5 The President
recently established an interagency task force to coordinate federal regulation of natural gas

4 See Jody Freeman, The Obama Administration’s National Auto Policy: Lessons from the “Car Deal,” 35 HARV. ENVTL.

5 See Press Release, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, Nine Federal Agencies Enter Into a Memorandum of
Understanding Regarding Transmission Siting on Federal Lands (Oct. 28, 2009), available at
production. There are many other examples from prior administrations, involving policy initiatives large and small.

The President could seek to promote coordination through a comprehensive management strategy that puts coordination at its core, which might be done via a new executive order tasking one or more EOP offices with an oversight role. Promoting consistency in agency rulemaking is already explicitly within the mandate of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs under Executive Order 12,866 and was reiterated by President Obama in Executive Order 13,563. While this is compatible with the larger goal of promoting greater interagency coordination where agencies exercise overlapping and closely related responsibilities, still more could be done. For example, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) could consider ways to achieve coordination as part of its implementation of the Government Performance and Results Modernization Act (GPRMA), and propose cross-cutting budget allocations (sometimes referred to as “portfolio budgeting”) to help incentivize the agencies to work together on a variety of projects, some of which might involve rulemakings. The White House might explore ways to strengthen existing interagency task forces or encourage similar interagency efforts where their potential benefits have been overlooked.

---


9 The Conference recognizes the special concerns about presidential authority with respect to independent regulatory agencies. However, various presidential actions have sought to extend administration policies to the independent agencies. For example, sec. 4 of Executive Order 12,866 “Regulatory Planning and Review,” includes independent regulatory agencies in its requirements for the semiannual Unified Regulatory Agenda and the annual Regulatory Plan, “to the extent permitted by law.” Similarly, Executive Order 13,579, “Regulation and Independent Regulatory Agencies,” and the further guidance contained in the OIRA Administrator’s Memorandum for the Heads
Beyond OMB, other councils and offices within the EOP may also play important roles facilitating coordination.

However, centralized supervision is not the only means of improving agency coordination. Congress could prescribe specific reforms via statute. Yet even absent direction from the President or Congress, agencies could voluntarily adopt certain targeted reforms. This recommendation suggests some initial and relatively modest measures that agencies could adopt to help conduct, track and evaluate existing coordination initiatives, subject, of course, to budget constraints. These include development of agency policies on coordination, sharing of best practices, adopting protocols for joint rulemaking and memoranda of understanding, ex post evaluation of at least a subset of coordination processes, tracking of outcomes and costs, and making coordination tools more transparent. These measures are not intended to impose substantial additional burdens on agencies, but to the extent they do, the recommendation urges OMB to recognize the need to devote sufficient resources to allow agencies to participate effectively in interagency processes.

Nor, of course, does this recommendation seek to preclude other measures that might promote interagency collaboration, consultation and coordination, either at the federal level, or between federal and state and local agencies. It is not meant to displace or preclude any additional effort, whether under the GPRA amendments or otherwise, to develop national strategies. In addition, in many instances, informal agency consultation and negotiation work effectively to resolve inconsistencies and conflict. This recommendation is meant to augment rather than displace such efforts.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Developing Agency Coordination Policies.

(a) Federal agencies should identify any areas of shared, overlapping or closely related jurisdiction or operation that might require, or benefit from, interagency coordination. Federal agencies that share overlapping or closely related responsibilities should adopt policies or procedures, as appropriate, to document ongoing coordination efforts, and to facilitate additional coordination with other agencies.

(b) Concurrently, the Executive Office of the President (EOP) should work with the agencies to develop a policy to promote coordination where agencies share overlapping or closely related responsibilities. The policy, while maintaining the need for flexibility, should require agencies to address, among other things, how they will:

(i) resolve disagreements over jurisdiction;

(ii) share or divide information-production responsibilities;

(iii) solicit and address potentially conflicting views on executing shared responsibilities;

10 A recent GAO report on the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act faulted the financial regulatory agencies for not pursuing coordination more systematically and noted that the majority of agencies reviewed had not developed internal policies on coordination. See U.S. Gov’t Accountability Office, GAO-12-151, DODD FRANK ACT REGULATIONS: IMPLEMENTATION COULD BENEFIT FROM BETTER ANALYSIS AND COORDINATION 25 (2011) (noting that seven of nine regulators reviewed “did not have written policies and procedures to facilitate coordination on rulemaking”).

11 31 U.S.C. § 1115(b)(5)(D) of GPRA, as amended by sec. 3 of GPRMA, supra note 8, requires each agency to have an annual performance plan providing a description of how its performance goals are to be achieved, including how the agency is working with other agencies to achieve those goals.

(iv) minimize duplication of effort;

(v) identify and resolve differences over the application of analytic requirements imposed by statute or executive order;\(^\text{13}\) and

(vi) formalize agreements allocating respective responsibilities or develop standards or policies jointly, where appropriate.

In addition, the policy should establish a mechanism by which agencies can share best practices and evaluate their coordination initiatives ex post, and assist them in doing so effectively and efficiently.

(c) The EOP should effectively utilize the Regulatory Working Group, established by Executive Order 12,866, or establish or utilize other comparable bodies to assist agencies in identifying opportunities for coordination.\(^\text{14}\)

2. Improving Joint Rulemaking

The coordination policies and procedures adopted by the EOP and the agencies should include best practices for joint rulemaking and recommend when agencies should consider using it even when not statutorily required to do so. Best practices might include establishing joint technical teams for developing the rule and requiring early consultation, where appropriate, (a) with the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) regarding joint production of cost-benefit analyses and other analyses required by statute or executive


\(^{14}\) Exec. Order No. 12,866, § 4(d) (announcing the establishment of a Regulatory Working Group as “a forum to assist agencies in identifying and analyzing important regulatory issues”).
order, and (b) among agency legal staff and lawyers at the Department of Justice who may need ultimately to defend the rule in litigation.

3. Improving Interagency Agreements

(a) The coordination policies and procedures adopted by the EOP and the agencies should include best practices for agency agreements such as memoranda of understanding (MOUs). Such best practices might include specification of progress metrics that will enable agencies to assess the effectiveness of their agreement and sunset provisions that would require signatory agencies to review MOUs regularly to determine whether they continue to be of value.¹⁵

(b) Agencies should make available to the public, in an accessible manner, interagency agreements that have broad policy implications or that may affect the rights and interests of the general public unless the agency finds good cause not to do so.

4. Supporting and Funding Interagency Consultation.

(a) The EOP should encourage agencies to conduct interagency consultations early in a decision-making process, before initial positions are locked in, and to conduct such consultations in a continuing and integrated, rather than periodic and reactive, way. To this end, when appropriate, the EOP should encourage coordinating agencies to establish an interagency team to produce and analyze data together over the course of the decision-making process, and ensure such teams have adequate funding and support.

(b) The Office of Management and Budget and agencies involved in coordinated interagency activities should take into account, in the budgetary process, the need for sufficient resources to participate effectively in interagency processes, and the need to provide

¹⁵ In several of the examples reviewed in the Freeman/Rossi report, supra note 2, the agencies were negotiating new MOUs to replace outdated ones (often negotiated by previous administrations)—a clear sign that ineffective MOUs can be left to languish for too long.
specifically for such cross-cutting activities. Further, an action agency, on which a duty to consult with other agencies falls, should contribute a share of its resources, as appropriate, to the extent it possesses the discretion to do so, to support joint technical and analytic teams, even if those resources will be consumed in part by other agencies.

5. Tracking Total Resources.

To better evaluate the effectiveness of coordination initiatives, an appropriate office or offices of the federal government should assess the costs and benefits, both quantitative and qualitative, of interagency consultations, MOUs, joint rules, and other similar instruments. Such offices might include the Government Accountability Office or the Congressional Research Service, perhaps with the assistance of the Administrative Conference of the United States. To minimize the burden on the agencies of such evaluation, at the outset, this effort might be limited to high-priority, high-visibility interagency coordination efforts, such as important joint rulemakings, or equivalent initiatives.
Dear Viktoria,

We thank the Council on Environmental Quality for issuing this Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. We believe that ACUS's Recommendation 2012-5, Improving Coordination of Related Agency Responsibilities, 77 Fed. Reg. 47,810, 47,810 (Aug. 10, 2012) offers guidance that the CEQ would likely find useful as it considers updating its National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations. Although the Recommendation does not address NEPA, we believe that overall, it offers helpful guidance on portions of the following questions:

1) “Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to ensure that environmental reviews and authorization decisions involving multiple agencies are conducted in a manner that is concurrent, synchronized, timely, and efficient, and if so, how?”

3) “Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to ensure optimal interagency coordination of environmental reviews and authorization decisions, and if so, how?”

16) “Are there additional ways CEQ's NEPA regulations should be revised to promote coordination of environmental review and authorization decisions, such as combining NEPA analysis and other decision documents, and if so, how?”

A copy of the Recommendation is attached.

Please let us know if you have any questions. Thank you again.

Best regards,

Todd Rubin

Todd Rubin | Attorney Advisor

ADMINISTRATIVE CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES
Administrative Conference Recommendation 2012-5

Improving Coordination of Related Agency Responsibilities

Adopted June 15, 2012

Many areas of government agency activities are characterized by fragmented and overlapping delegations of power to administrative agencies. Congress often assigns more than one agency the same or similar functions or divides responsibilities among multiple agencies, giving each responsibility for part of a larger whole. Instances of overlap and fragmentation are common. They can be found throughout the administrative state, in virtually every sphere of social and economic regulation, in contexts ranging from border security to food safety to financial regulation.1 The following recommendation suggests some reforms aimed at improving coordination of agency policymaking, including joint rulemaking, interagency agreements, and agency consultation provisions.

The study underlying this recommendation2 provides a comprehensive picture of overlapping and fragmented delegations, and makes some practical suggestions for addressing


the coordination problems they create. Because characterizing such delegations as redundant might suggest literal duplication, the study adopts the more nuanced concept of “shared regulatory space.” This term includes not only literally duplicative or overlapping responsibilities, but also instances where cumulative statutory delegations create a situation in which agencies share closely related responsibilities for different aspects of a larger regulatory, programmatic, or management enterprise.

Such delegations may produce redundancy, inefficiency, and gaps, but they also create underappreciated coordination challenges. A key advantage to such delegations may be the potential to harness the expertise and competencies of specialized agencies. But that potential can be wasted if the agencies work at cross-purposes or fail to capitalize on one another’s unique strengths and perspectives. By improving efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability, coordination can help to overcome potential dysfunctions created by shared regulatory space. Greater coordination can reduce costs for both the government and regulated entities not only by avoiding literal duplication of functions but also by increasing opportunities for agencies exercising related responsibilities to manage and reconcile differences in approach. Coordination that takes the form of interagency consultation can improve the overall quality of decisionmaking by introducing multiple perspectives and specialized knowledge, and structuring opportunities for agencies mutually to test their information and ideas. Coordination instruments can also equip and incentivize agencies to monitor each other constructively, which should help both the President and Congress to better manage agency policy choices and compliance with statutes. It is plausible too, that greater coordination will make it harder for interest groups to capture the administrative process or to play agencies against each other.

Much coordination occurs against the backdrop of day-to-day, informal interactions among agency staffs, including casual conversations, meetings, and working groups. However,

3 The underlying study and this recommendation focus on federal government agencies only, and do not address the coordination problems presented more generally by federalism due to dispersed responsibilities between federal and state governments.
systematic efforts to institutionalize coordination (as opposed to relying exclusively on the ad hoc coordination that occurs as a matter of course among agencies) will tend to be more stable, visible, and durable than relying only on informal networks for promoting interagency interactions. This recommendation does not purport to address all agency interactions, but focuses on the processes and instruments agencies use to memorialize agency interactions and agreements. In such instances, this recommendation endorses documented coordination policies to help formalize ad hoc approaches and provide useful guidelines for agency staff. Coordination policies can be top-down, through the President’s leadership, as well as bottom-up, beginning with agencies themselves.

Presidential leadership can be helpful in addressing the challenges posed by fragmented and overlapping delegations, especially in instances where there is conflict among agencies, inability of agency staffs to coordinate, or a reluctance of agency officials to work together. Components of the Executive Office of the President (EOP) with relevant policy expertise may be well positioned to promote coordination in their respective domains, and efforts in this regard could be bolstered. The EOP can play a crucial role in fostering coordination by establishing priorities, convening the relevant agencies, and managing a process that is conducive to producing agreement. For example, the White House Office of Energy and Climate Change Policy has been credited with facilitating the joint rulemaking effort of EPA and the Department of Transportation, which produced new fuel efficiency and greenhouse gas standards,4 and the EOP played a central role in convening and coordinating the nine-agency memorandum of understanding on siting of transmission lines on federal lands.5 The President recently established an interagency task force to coordinate federal regulation of natural gas


production. There are many other examples from prior administrations, involving policy initiatives large and small.

The President could seek to promote coordination through a comprehensive management strategy that puts coordination at its core, which might be done via a new executive order tasking one or more EOP offices with an oversight role. Promoting consistency in agency rulemaking is already explicitly within the mandate of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs under Executive Order 12,866 and was reiterated by President Obama in Executive Order 13,563. While this is compatible with the larger goal of promoting greater interagency coordination where agencies exercise overlapping and closely related responsibilities, still more could be done. For example, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) could consider ways to achieve coordination as part of its implementation of the Government Performance and Results Modernization Act (GPRMA), and propose cross-cutting budget allocations (sometimes referred to as "portfolio budgeting") to help incentivize the agencies to work together on a variety of projects, some of which might involve rulemakings. The White House might explore ways to strengthen existing interagency task forces or encourage similar interagency efforts where their potential benefits have been overlooked.

---


9 The Conference recognizes the special concerns about presidential authority with respect to independent regulatory agencies. However, various presidential actions have sought to extend administration policies to the independent agencies. For example, sec. 4 of Executive Order 12,866 “Regulatory Planning and Review,” includes independent regulatory agencies in its requirements for the semiannual Unified Regulatory Agenda and the annual Regulatory Plan, “to the extent permitted by law.” Similarly, Executive Order 13,579, “Regulation and Independent Regulatory Agencies,” and the further guidance contained in the OIRA Administrator’s Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies, Cumulative Effects of Regulations (Mar. 20, 2012), available at http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/inforeg/cumulative-effects-guidance.pdf.
Beyond OMB, other councils and offices within the EOP may also play important roles facilitating coordination.

However, centralized supervision is not the only means of improving agency coordination. Congress could prescribe specific reforms via statute. Yet even absent direction from the President or Congress, agencies could voluntarily adopt certain targeted reforms. This recommendation suggests some initial and relatively modest measures that agencies could adopt to help conduct, track and evaluate existing coordination initiatives, subject, of course, to budget constraints. These include development of agency policies on coordination, sharing of best practices, adopting protocols for joint rulemaking and memoranda of understanding, ex post evaluation of at least a subset of coordination processes, tracking of outcomes and costs, and making coordination tools more transparent. These measures are not intended to impose substantial additional burdens on agencies, but to the extent they do, the recommendation urges OMB to recognize the need to devote sufficient resources to allow agencies to participate effectively in interagency processes.

Nor, of course, does this recommendation seek to preclude other measures that might promote interagency collaboration, consultation and coordination, either at the federal level, or between federal and state and local agencies. It is not meant to displace or preclude any additional effort, whether under the GPRA amendments or otherwise, to develop national strategies. In addition, in many instances, informal agency consultation and negotiation work effectively to resolve inconsistencies and conflict. This recommendation is meant to augment rather than displace such efforts.
1. Developing Agency Coordination Policies.

(a) Federal agencies should identify any areas of shared, overlapping or closely related jurisdiction or operation that might require, or benefit from, interagency coordination. Federal agencies that share overlapping or closely related responsibilities should adopt policies or procedures, as appropriate, to document ongoing coordination efforts, and to facilitate additional coordination with other agencies.

(b) Concurrently, the Executive Office of the President (EOP) should work with the agencies to develop a policy to promote coordination where agencies share overlapping or closely related responsibilities. The policy, while maintaining the need for flexibility, should require agencies to address, among other things, how they will:

(i) resolve disagreements over jurisdiction;

(ii) share or divide information-production responsibilities;

(iii) solicit and address potentially conflicting views on executing shared responsibilities;

---

10 A recent GAO report on the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act faulted the financial regulatory agencies for not pursuing coordination more systematically and noted that the majority of agencies reviewed had not developed internal policies on coordination. See U.S. Gov't Accountability Office, GAO-12-151, Dodd-Frank Act Regulations: Implementation Could Benefit From Better Analysis and Coordination 25 (2011) (noting that seven of nine regulators reviewed "did not have written policies and procedures to facilitate coordination on rulemaking").

11 31 U.S.C. § 1115(b)(5)(D) of GPRA, as amended by sec. 3 of GPRMA, supra note 8, requires each agency to have an annual performance plan providing a description of how its performance goals are to be achieved, including how the agency is working with other agencies to achieve those goals.

(iv) minimize duplication of effort;

(v) identify and resolve differences over the application of analytic requirements imposed by statute or executive order;\(^\text{13}\) and

(vi) formalize agreements allocating respective responsibilities or develop standards or policies jointly, where appropriate.

In addition, the policy should establish a mechanism by which agencies can share best practices and evaluate their coordination initiatives ex post, and assist them in doing so effectively and efficiently.

(c) The EOP should effectively utilize the Regulatory Working Group, established by Executive Order 12,866, or establish or utilize other comparable bodies to assist agencies in identifying opportunities for coordination.\(^\text{14}\)

2. Improving Joint Rulemaking

The coordination policies and procedures adopted by the EOP and the agencies should include best practices for joint rulemaking and recommend when agencies should consider using it even when not statutorily required to do so. Best practices might include establishing joint technical teams for developing the rule and requiring early consultation, where appropriate, (a) with the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) regarding joint production of cost-benefit analyses and other analyses required by statute or executive


\(^{14}\) Exec. Order No. 12,866, § 4(d) (announcing the establishment of a Regulatory Working Group as "a forum to assist agencies in identifying and analyzing important regulatory issues").
order, and (b) among agency legal staff and lawyers at the Department of Justice who may need ultimately to defend the rule in litigation.

3. Improving Interagency Agreements

(a) The coordination policies and procedures adopted by the EOP and the agencies should include best practices for agency agreements such as memoranda of understanding (MOUs). Such best practices might include specification of progress metrics that will enable agencies to assess the effectiveness of their agreement and sunset provisions that would require signatory agencies to review MOUs regularly to determine whether they continue to be of value.\(^\text{15}\)

(b) Agencies should make available to the public, in an accessible manner, interagency agreements that have broad policy implications or that may affect the rights and interests of the general public unless the agency finds good cause not to do so.

4. Supporting and Funding Interagency Consultation.

(a) The EOP should encourage agencies to conduct interagency consultations early in a decisionmaking process, before initial positions are locked in, and to conduct such consultations in a continuing and integrated, rather than periodic and reactive, way. To this end, when appropriate, the EOP should encourage coordinating agencies to establish an interagency team to produce and analyze data together over the course of the decisionmaking process, and ensure such teams have adequate funding and support.

(b) The Office of Management and Budget and agencies involved in coordinated interagency activities should take into account, in the budgetary process, the need for sufficient resources to participate effectively in interagency processes, and the need to provide

---

\(^{15}\) In several of the examples reviewed in the Freeman/Rossi report, supra note 2, the agencies were negotiating new MOUs to replace outdated ones (often negotiated by previous administrations)—a clear sign that ineffective MOUs can be left to languish for too long.
specifically for such cross-cutting activities. Further, an action agency, on which a duty to consult with other agencies falls, should contribute a share of its resources, as appropriate, to the extent it possesses the discretion to do so, to support joint technical and analytic teams, even if those resources will be consumed in part by other agencies.

5. Tracking Total Resources.

To better evaluate the effectiveness of coordination initiatives, an appropriate office or offices of the federal government should assess the costs and benefits, both quantitative and qualitative, of interagency consultations, MOUs, joint rules, and other similar instruments. Such offices might include the Government Accountability Office or the Congressional Research Service, perhaps with the assistance of the Administrative Conference of the United States. To minimize the burden on the agencies of such evaluation, at the outset, this effort might be limited to high-priority, high-visibility interagency coordination efforts, such as important joint rulemakings, or equivalent initiatives.
FYI – we received the email below and attachment from the Administrative Conference of the United States today.

From: Todd Rubin <TRubin@acus.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, July 18, 2018 10:30 AM
To: Seale, Viktoria Z. EOP/CEQ
Subject: Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001: Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

Dear Viktoria,

We thank the Council on Environmental Quality for issuing this Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. We believe that ACUS's Recommendation 2012-5, Improving Coordination of Related Agency Responsibilities, 77 Fed. Reg. 47,810, 47,810 (Aug. 10, 2012) offers guidance that the CEQ would likely find useful as it considers updating its National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations. Although the Recommendation does not address NEPA, we believe that overall, it offers helpful guidance on portions of the following questions:

1) “Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure that environmental reviews and authorization decisions involving multiple agencies are conducted in a manner that is concurrent, synchronized, timely, and efficient, and if so, how?”

3) “Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure optimal interagency coordination of environmental reviews and authorization decisions, and if so, how?”

16) “Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to promote coordination of environmental review and authorization decisions, such as combining NEPA analysis and other decision documents, and if so, how?”

A copy of the Recommendation is attached.

Please let us know if you have any questions. Thank you again.
Best regards,

Todd Rubin
Many areas of government agency activities are characterized by fragmented and overlapping delegations of power to administrative agencies. Congress often assigns more than one agency the same or similar functions or divides responsibilities among multiple agencies, giving each responsibility for part of a larger whole. Instances of overlap and fragmentation are common. They can be found throughout the administrative state, in virtually every sphere of social and economic regulation, in contexts ranging from border security to food safety to financial regulation.\(^1\) The following recommendation suggests some reforms aimed at improving coordination of agency policymaking, including joint rulemaking, interagency agreements, and agency consultation provisions.

The study underlying this recommendation\(^2\) provides a comprehensive picture of overlapping and fragmented delegations, and makes some practical suggestions for addressing


the coordination problems they create. Because characterizing such delegations as redundant might suggest literal duplication, the study adopts the more nuanced concept of “shared regulatory space.” This term includes not only literally duplicative or overlapping responsibilities, but also instances where cumulative statutory delegations create a situation in which agencies share closely related responsibilities for different aspects of a larger regulatory, programmatic, or management enterprise.

Such delegations may produce redundancy, inefficiency, and gaps, but they also create underappreciated coordination challenges. A key advantage to such delegations may be the potential to harness the expertise and competencies of specialized agencies. But that potential can be wasted if the agencies work at cross-purposes or fail to capitalize on one another’s unique strengths and perspectives. By improving efficiency, effectiveness, and accountability, coordination can help to overcome potential dysfunctions created by shared regulatory space. Greater coordination can reduce costs for both the government and regulated entities not only by avoiding literal duplication of functions but also by increasing opportunities for agencies exercising related responsibilities to manage and reconcile differences in approach. Coordination that takes the form of interagency consultation can improve the overall quality of decisionmaking by introducing multiple perspectives and specialized knowledge, and structuring opportunities for agencies mutually to test their information and ideas. Coordination instruments can also equip and incentivize agencies to monitor each other constructively, which should help both the President and Congress to better manage agency policy choices and compliance with statutes. It is plausible too, that greater coordination will make it harder for interest groups to capture the administrative process or to play agencies against each other.

Much coordination occurs against the backdrop of day-to-day, informal interactions among agency staffs, including casual conversations, meetings, and working groups. However,

---

3 The underlying study and this recommendation focus on federal government agencies only, and do not address the coordination problems presented more generally by federalism due to dispersed responsibilities between federal and state governments.
systematic efforts to institutionalize coordination (as opposed to relying exclusively on the ad hoc coordination that occurs as a matter of course among agencies) will tend to be more stable, visible, and durable than relying only on informal networks for promoting interagency interactions. This recommendation does not purport to address all agency interactions, but focuses on the processes and instruments agencies use to memorialize agency interactions and agreements. In such instances, this recommendation endorses documented coordination policies to help formalize ad hoc approaches and provide useful guidelines for agency staff. Coordination policies can be top-down, through the President’s leadership, as well as bottom-up, beginning with agencies themselves.

Presidential leadership can be helpful in addressing the challenges posed by fragmented and overlapping delegations, especially in instances where there is conflict among agencies, inability of agency staffs to coordinate, or a reluctance of agency officials to work together. Components of the Executive Office of the President (EOP) with relevant policy expertise may be well positioned to promote coordination in their respective domains, and efforts in this regard could be bolstered. The EOP can play a crucial role in fostering coordination by establishing priorities, convening the relevant agencies, and managing a process that is conducive to producing agreement. For example, the White House Office of Energy and Climate Change Policy has been credited with facilitating the joint rulemaking effort of EPA and the Department of Transportation, which produced new fuel efficiency and greenhouse gas standards,⁴ and the EOP played a central role in convening and coordinating the nine-agency memorandum of understanding on siting of transmission lines on federal lands.⁵ The President recently established an interagency task force to coordinate federal regulation of natural gas


production. 6 There are many other examples from prior administrations, involving policy initiatives large and small.

The President could seek to promote coordination through a comprehensive management strategy that puts coordination at its core, which might be done via a new executive order tasking one or more EOP offices with an oversight role. Promoting consistency in agency rulemaking is already explicitly within the mandate of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs under Executive Order 12,866 and was reiterated by President Obama in Executive Order 13,563. 7 While this is compatible with the larger goal of promoting greater interagency coordination where agencies exercise overlapping and closely related responsibilities, still more could be done. For example, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) could consider ways to achieve coordination as part of its implementation of the Government Performance and Results Modernization Act (GPRMA), 8 and propose cross-cutting budget allocations (sometimes referred to as “portfolio budgeting”) to help incentivize the agencies to work together on a variety of projects, some of which might involve rulemakings. The White House might explore ways to strengthen existing interagency task forces or encourage similar interagency efforts where their potential benefits have been overlooked. 9

---

9 The Conference recognizes the special concerns about presidential authority with respect to independent regulatory agencies. However, various presidential actions have sought to extend administration policies to the independent agencies. For example, sec. 4 of Executive Order 12,866 “Regulatory Planning and Review,” includes independent regulatory agencies in its requirements for the semiannual Unified Regulatory Agenda and the annual Regulatory Plan, “to the extent permitted by law.” Similarly, Executive Order 13,579, “Regulation and Independent Regulatory Agencies,” and the further guidance contained in the OIRA Administrator’s Memorandum for the Heads
Beyond OMB, other councils and offices within the EOP may also play important roles facilitating coordination.

However, centralized supervision is not the only means of improving agency coordination. Congress could prescribe specific reforms via statute. Yet even absent direction from the President or Congress, agencies could voluntarily adopt certain targeted reforms. This recommendation suggests some initial and relatively modest measures that agencies could adopt to help conduct, track and evaluate existing coordination initiatives, subject, of course, to budget constraints. These include development of agency policies on coordination, sharing of best practices, adopting protocols for joint rulemaking and memoranda of understanding, ex post evaluation of at least a subset of coordination processes, tracking of outcomes and costs, and making coordination tools more transparent. These measures are not intended to impose substantial additional burdens on agencies, but to the extent they do, the recommendation urges OMB to recognize the need to devote sufficient resources to allow agencies to participate effectively in interagency processes.

Nor, of course, does this recommendation seek to preclude other measures that might promote interagency collaboration, consultation and coordination, either at the federal level, or between federal and state and local agencies. It is not meant to displace or preclude any additional effort, whether under the GPRA amendments or otherwise, to develop national strategies. In addition, in many instances, informal agency consultation and negotiation work effectively to resolve inconsistencies and conflict. This recommendation is meant to augment rather than displace such efforts.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Developing Agency Coordination Policies.

(a) Federal agencies should identify any areas of shared, overlapping or closely related jurisdiction or operation that might require, or benefit from, interagency coordination. Federal agencies that share overlapping or closely related responsibilities should adopt policies or procedures, as appropriate, to document ongoing coordination efforts, and to facilitate additional coordination with other agencies.

(b) Concurrently, the Executive Office of the President (EOP) should work with the agencies to develop a policy to promote coordination where agencies share overlapping or closely related responsibilities. The policy, while maintaining the need for flexibility, should require agencies to address, among other things, how they will:

(i) resolve disagreements over jurisdiction;

(ii) share or divide information-production responsibilities;

(iii) solicit and address potentially conflicting views on executing shared responsibilities;

---

10 A recent GAO report on the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act faulted the financial regulatory agencies for not pursuing coordination more systematically and noted that the majority of agencies reviewed had not developed internal policies on coordination. See U.S. Gov't Accountability Office, GAO-12-151, DODD FRANK ACT REGULATIONS: IMPLEMENTATION COULD BENEFIT FROM BETTER ANALYSIS AND COORDINATION 25 (2011) (noting that seven of nine regulators reviewed "did not have written policies and procedures to facilitate coordination on rulemaking").

11 31 U.S.C. § 1115(b)(5)(D) of GPRA, as amended by sec. 3 of GPRMA, supra note 8, requires each agency to have an annual performance plan providing a description of how its performance goals are to be achieved, including how the agency is working with other agencies to achieve those goals.

(iv) minimize duplication of effort;

(v) identify and resolve differences over the application of analytic requirements imposed by statute or executive order;¹³ and

(vi) formalize agreements allocating respective responsibilities or develop standards or policies jointly, where appropriate.

In addition, the policy should establish a mechanism by which agencies can share best practices and evaluate their coordination initiatives ex post, and assist them in doing so effectively and efficiently.

(c) The EOP should effectively utilize the Regulatory Working Group, established by Executive Order 12,866, or establish or utilize other comparable bodies to assist agencies in identifying opportunities for coordination.¹⁴

2. Improving Joint Rulemaking

The coordination policies and procedures adopted by the EOP and the agencies should include best practices for joint rulemaking and recommend when agencies should consider using it even when not statutorily required to do so. Best practices might include establishing joint technical teams for developing the rule and requiring early consultation, where appropriate, (a) with the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) regarding joint production of cost-benefit analyses and other analyses required by statute or executive


¹⁴ Exec. Order No. 12,866, § 4(d) (announcing the establishment of a Regulatory Working Group as “a forum to assist agencies in identifying and analyzing important regulatory issues”).
order, and (b) among agency legal staff and lawyers at the Department of Justice who may need ultimately to defend the rule in litigation.

3. **Improving Interagency Agreements**

(a) The coordination policies and procedures adopted by the EOP and the agencies should include best practices for agency agreements such as memoranda of understanding (MOUs). Such best practices might include specification of progress metrics that will enable agencies to assess the effectiveness of their agreement and sunset provisions that would require signatory agencies to review MOUs regularly to determine whether they continue to be of value.\(^{15}\)

(b) Agencies should make available to the public, in an accessible manner, interagency agreements that have broad policy implications or that may affect the rights and interests of the general public unless the agency finds good cause not to do so.

4. **Supporting and Funding Interagency Consultation.**

(a) The EOP should encourage agencies to conduct interagency consultations early in a decisionmaking process, before initial positions are locked in, and to conduct such consultations in a continuing and integrated, rather than periodic and reactive, way. To this end, when appropriate, the EOP should encourage coordinating agencies to establish an interagency team to produce and analyze data together over the course of the decisionmaking process, and ensure such teams have adequate funding and support.

(b) The Office of Management and Budget and agencies involved in coordinated interagency activities should take into account, in the budgetary process, the need for sufficient resources to participate effectively in interagency processes, and the need to provide

---

\(^{15}\) In several of the examples reviewed in the Freeman/Rossi report, *supra* note 2, the agencies were negotiating new MOUs to replace outdated ones (often negotiated by previous administrations)—a clear sign that ineffective MOUs can be left to languish for too long.
specifically for such cross-cutting activities. Further, an action agency, on which a duty to consult with other agencies falls, should contribute a share of its resources, as appropriate, to the extent it possesses the discretion to do so, to support joint technical and analytic teams, even if those resources will be consumed in part by other agencies.

5. Tracking Total Resources.

To better evaluate the effectiveness of coordination initiatives, an appropriate office or offices of the federal government should assess the costs and benefits, both quantitative and qualitative, of interagency consultations, MOUs, joint rules, and other similar instruments. Such offices might include the Government Accountability Office or the Congressional Research Service, perhaps with the assistance of the Administrative Conference of the United States. To minimize the burden on the agencies of such evaluation, at the outset, this effort might be limited to high-priority, high-visibility interagency coordination efforts, such as important joint rulemakings, or equivalent initiatives.
FW: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

From: "Teel, Pam" <teel.pam@epa.gov>
To: "Katchinoff, Julien M" <katchinoffjm@state.gov>, "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" <wingrd@state.gov>, Ellen Dunlap <dunlapem@state.gov>, duncan.stewart@international.gc.ca
Cc: Date: Fri, 20 Jul 2018 18:21:34 -0400
Attachment: 2018-14821.pdf (212.33 kB)

FYI: provided CEQ's ANPRM to CEAA with deadline extension to August 20, 2018.

From: Teel, Pam
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2018 6:03 PM
To: Christine Loth-Bown (Christine.Loth-Bown@ceaa-acee.gc.ca) <Christine.Loth-Bown@ceaa-acee.gc.ca>; Yordanka Stoimenova (Yordanka.Stoimenova@ceaa.acee.gc.ca) <Yordanka.Stoimenova@ceaa.acee.gc.ca>; 'Regina.Wright@ceaa.acee.gc.ca' <Regina.Wright@ceaa.acee.gc.ca>
Cc: Knight, Kelly <knight.kelly@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

FYI: The comment period for the U.S.'s Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking has been extended from July 20, 2018 to August 20, 2018 (see attached).

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ [mailto](B) (6)
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2018 2:16 PM
To: Teel, Pam <Teel.Pam@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

Pam - Thanks so much for your call. Please share this information with CEAA.

Best,
Ted

Edward A. Boling
Associate Director for the
National Environmental Policy Act
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place
Washington, DC 20503
Federal NEPA Contacts,

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is extending the public comment period on the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM), which was originally scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, through August 20, 2018. CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period. The notice of the extension of the ANPRM is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register tomorrow, July 11, 2018. The pre-publication version of the notice is attached to this email and available here.

Sincerely,

Michael Drummond
Deputy Associate Director for NEPA
Council on Environmental Quality
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1504, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508

[Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001]

RIN: 0331-AA03

Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 20, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act.” The CEQ is extending the comment period on the ANPRM, which was scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, for 31 days until August 20, 2018. The CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification number CEQ-2018-0001 through the Federal eRulemaking portal at https://www.regulations.gov.

Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments
cannot be edited or removed from https://www.regulations.gov. CEQ may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (e.g., audio, video) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make.

Comments may also be submitted by mail. Send your comments to: Council on Environmental Quality, 730 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 20, 2018, CEQ published an ANPRM titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” in the Federal Register (83 FR 28591). The original deadline to submit comments was July 20, 2018. This action extends the comment period for 31 days to ensure the public has sufficient time to review and comment on the ANPRM. Written comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2018.

Mary B. Neumayr,
Chief of Staff, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 2018-14821 Filed: 7/10/2018 8:45 am; Publication Date: 7/11/2018]
FW: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

From: "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" 
To: "Teel, Pam" <teel.pam@epa.gov>
Date: Fri, 20 Jul 2018 14:16:10 -0400
Attachments: 2018-14821.pdf (212.33 kB)

Pam - Thanks so much for your call. Please share this information with CEAA.

Best,
Ted

Edward A. Boling
Associate Director for the
National Environmental Policy Act
Council on Environmental Quality
730 Jackson Place
Washington, DC 20503

---

From: FN-CEQ-NEPA
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2018 11:03 AM
To: FN-CEQ-NEPA
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ, Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ, Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

Federal NEPA Contacts,

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is extending the public comment period on the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM), which was originally scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, through August 20, 2018. CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period. The notice of the extension of the ANPRM is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register tomorrow, July 11, 2018. The pre-publication version of the notice is attached to this email and available here.

Sincerely,
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1504, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508

[Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001]

RIN: 0331-AA03

Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 20, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act.” The CEQ is extending the comment period on the ANPRM, which was scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, for 31 days until August 20, 2018. The CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification number CEQ-2018-0001 through the Federal eRulemaking portal at https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments
cannot be edited or removed from https://www.regulations.gov. CEQ may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (e.g., audio, video) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make.

Comments may also be submitted by mail. Send your comments to: Council on Environmental Quality, 730 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 20, 2018, CEQ published an ANPRM titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” in the Federal Register (83 FR 28591). The original deadline to submit comments was July 20, 2018. This action extends the comment period for 31 days to ensure the public has sufficient time to review and comment on the ANPRM. Written comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2018.

Mary B. Neumayr,
Chief of Staff, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 2018-14821 Filed: 7/10/2018 8:45 am; Publication Date: 7/11/2018]
FW: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

From: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ"<br>
To: Stephanie Kavanaugh <kavanaugh@udall.gov>
Cc: "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ"
Date: Wed, 25 Jul 2018 17:57:35 -0400

Stephanie,

Attached are two notices regarding the CEQ ANPRM, the ANPRM itself and the comment extension and the One Federal Decision MOU for distribution to the ECCR Forum.

Best,

Michael

From: FN-CEQ-NEPA
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2018 11:03 AM
To: FN-CEQ-NEPA
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ; Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ; Mansoor, Yardena M. EOP/CEQ
Subject: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

Federal NEPA Contacts,

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is extending the public comment period on the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM), which was originally scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, through August 20, 2018. CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period. The notice of the extension of the ANPRM is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register tomorrow, July 11, 2018. The pre-publication version of the notice is attached to this email and available here.

Sincerely,

Michael Drummond
Deputy Associate Director for NEPA
Council on Environmental Quality
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1504, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508

[Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001]

RIN: 0331-AA03

Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 20, 2018, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) published an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act.” The CEQ is extending the comment period on the ANPRM, which was scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, for 31 days until August 20, 2018. The CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification number CEQ-2018-0001 through the Federal eRulemaking portal at https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments
cannot be edited or removed from https://www.regulations.gov. CEQ may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (e.g., audio, video) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make.

Comments may also be submitted by mail. Send your comments to: Council on Environmental Quality, 730 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, DC 20503, Attn: Docket No. CEQ–2018–0001.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 20, 2018, CEQ published an ANPRM titled “Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” in the Federal Register (83 FR 28591). The original deadline to submit comments was July 20, 2018. This action extends the comment period for 31 days to ensure the public has sufficient time to review and comment on the ANPRM. Written comments should be submitted on or before August 20, 2018.

Mary B. Neumayr,
Chief of Staff, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 2018-14821 Filed: 7/10/2018 8:45 am; Publication Date: 7/11/2018]
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1504, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508

[Docket No. CEQ-2018-0001]

RIN: 0331-AA03

Update to the Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ).

ACTION: Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is considering updating its implementing regulations for the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Over the past four decades, CEQ has issued numerous guidance documents but has amended its regulations substantively only once. Given the length of time since its NEPA implementing regulations were issued, CEQ solicits public comment on potential revisions to update the regulations and ensure a more efficient, timely, and effective NEPA process consistent with the national environmental policy stated in NEPA.

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number CEQ-2018-0001 through the Federal eRulemaking portal at https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq., was enacted in 1970. NEPA states that “it is the continuing policy of the Federal Government, in cooperation with State and local governments, and other concerned public and private organizations, to use all practicable means and measures, including financial and technical assistance, in a manner calculated to foster and promote the general welfare, to create and maintain conditions under which man and nature can exist in productive harmony, and fulfill the social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations of Americans.” 42 U.S.C. § 4331(a). NEPA also established CEQ as an agency within the Executive Office of the President. 42 U.S.C. § 4342.

By Executive Order (E.O.) 11514, “Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality” (March 5, 1970), President Nixon directed CEQ in Section 3(h) to issue “guidelines to Federal agencies for the preparation of detailed statements on proposals for legislation and other Federal actions affecting the environment, as required by section 102(2)(C) of the Act.” CEQ published these guidelines in April of 1970 and revised them in 1973.

President Carter issued E.O. 11991 (May 24, 1977), “Relating to Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality,” which amended Section 3(h) of E.O. 11514 to direct CEQ to issue regulations providing uniform standards for the implementation of
NEPA, and amended Section 2 of E.O. 11514 to require agency compliance with the CEQ regulations. CEQ promulgated its “Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” (CEQ’s NEPA regulations) at 40 CFR parts 1500-1508. 43 FR 55978 (November 29, 1978). Since that time, CEQ has amended its NEPA regulations substantively only once, to eliminate the “worst case” analysis requirement of 40 CFR 1502.22. 51 FR 15618 (April 25, 1986).

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued E.O. 13807, “Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environmental Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure Projects.” 82 FR 40463 (August 24, 2017). Section 5(e) of E.O. 13807 directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. In response, CEQ published its initial list of actions pursuant to E.O. 13807 and stated that it intends to review its existing NEPA regulations in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify these regulations. 82 FR 43226 (September 14, 2017).

II. Request for Comment

CEQ requests comments on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ NEPA regulations. In particular, CEQ requests comments on the following specific aspects of these regulations, and requests that commenters include question numbers when providing responses. Where possible, please provide specific recommendations on additions, deletions, and modifications to the text of CEQ’s NEPA regulations and their justifications.

NEPA Process:
1. Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to ensure that environmental reviews and authorization decisions involving multiple agencies are conducted in a manner that is concurrent, synchronized, timely, and efficient, and if so, how?

2. Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to make the NEPA process more efficient by better facilitating agency use of environmental studies, analysis, and decisions conducted in earlier Federal, State, tribal or local environmental reviews or authorization decisions, and if so, how?

3. Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to ensure optimal interagency coordination of environmental reviews and authorization decisions, and if so, how?

Scope of NEPA Review:

4. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations that relate to the format and page length of NEPA documents and time limits for completion be revised, and if so, how?

5. Should CEQ's NEPA regulations be revised to provide greater clarity to ensure NEPA documents better focus on significant issues that are relevant and useful to decisionmakers and the public, and if so, how?

6. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to public involvement be revised to be more inclusive and efficient, and if so, how?

7. Should definitions of any key NEPA terms in CEQ's NEPA regulations, such as those listed below, be revised, and if so, how?
   a. Major Federal Action;
   b. Effects;
c. Cumulative Impact;  
d. Significantly;  
e. Scope; and  
f. Other NEPA terms.

8. Should any new definitions of key NEPA terms, such as those noted below, be added, and if so, which terms?
   a. Alternatives;  
   b. Purpose and Need;  
   c. Reasonably Foreseeable;  
   d. Trivial Violation; and  
   e. Other NEPA terms.

9. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to any of the types of documents listed below be revised, and if so, how?
   a. Notice of Intent;  
   b. Categorical Exclusions Documentation;  
   c. Environmental Assessments;  
   d. Findings of No Significant Impact;  
   e. Environmental Impact Statements;  
   f. Records of Decision; and  
   g. Supplements.

10. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to the timing of agency action be revised, and if so, how?
11. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to agency responsibility and the preparation of NEPA documents by contractors and project applicants be revised, and if so, how?

12. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to programmatic NEPA documents and tiering be revised, and if so, how?

13. Should the provisions in CEQ's NEPA regulations relating to the appropriate range of alternatives in NEPA reviews and which alternatives may be eliminated from detailed analysis be revised, and if so, how?

General:

14. Are any provisions of the CEQ's NEPA regulations currently obsolete? If so, please provide specific recommendations on whether they should be modified, rescinded, or replaced.

15. Which provisions of the CEQ's NEPA regulations can be updated to reflect new technologies that can be used to make the process more efficient?

16. Are there additional ways CEQ's NEPA regulations should be revised to promote coordination of environmental review and authorization decisions, such as combining NEPA analysis and other decision documents, and if so, how?

17. Are there additional ways CEQ's NEPA regulations should be revised to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the implementation of NEPA, and if so, how?

18. Are there ways in which the role of tribal governments in the NEPA process should be clarified in CEQ's NEPA regulations, and if so, how?
19. Are there additional ways CEQ's NEPA regulations should be revised to ensure that agencies apply NEPA in a manner that reduces unnecessary burdens and delays as much as possible, and if so, how?

20. Are there additional ways CEQ's NEPA regulations related to mitigation should be revised, and if so, how?

(Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4332, 4342, 4344 and 40 CFR Parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1505, 1506, 1507, and 1508)

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under E.O. 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” 58 FR 51735 (October 4, 1993), this is a “significant regulatory action.” Accordingly, CEQ submitted this action to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under E.O. 12866 and any changes made in response to OMB recommendations have been documented in the docket for this action. Because this action does not propose or impose any requirements, and instead seeks comments and suggestions for CEQ to consider in possibly developing a subsequent proposed rule, the various statutes and executive orders that normally apply to rulemaking do not apply in this case. If CEQ decides in the future to pursue a rulemaking, CEQ will address the statutes and executive orders applicable to that rulemaking at that time.

Mary B. Neumayr,
Chief of Staff, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 2018-13246 Filed: 6/19/2018 8:45 am; Publication Date: 6/20/2018]
RE: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

From: Stephanie Kavanaugh <kavanaugh@udall.gov>
To: "Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ" <b>](mailto:)
Cc: "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" <b>](mailto:)
Date: Thu, 26 Jul 2018 09:07:47 -0400

Thanks, Michael. We'll be sure to send these out with the notes.

~~SK

Stephanie Kavanaugh, CPF
Director, Washington, DC Office
MORRIS K. UDALL AND STEWART L. UDALL FOUNDATION
1825 K Street NW, Suite 701, Washington, DC 20006
Desk 202.540.1041 ~ Mobile: (b) (6) ~ Fax: 202.540.1044
Email: kavanaugh@udall.gov Website: www.udall.gov

Civility | Integrity | Consensus
If you received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by return email, and delete this message and any attachments. This email may contain information subject to the Privacy Act, the Trade Secrets Act, and/or dispute resolution information protected as confidential by the Administrative Dispute Resolution Act, 5 U.S.C. § 571 et seq. You are reminded that improper use of such information is prohibited by law. Thank you.

From: Drummond, Michael R. EOP/CEQ [mailto:]
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 5:58 PM
To: Stephanie Kavanaugh <kavanaugh@udall.gov>
Cc: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ <b>](mailto:)
Subject: FW: CEQ NEPA Regulations ANPRM Extension of Comment Period

Stephanie,

Attached are two notices regarding the CEQ ANPRM, the ANPRM itself and the comment extension and the One Federal Decision MOU for distribution to the ECCR Forum.

Best,

Michael

From: FN-CEQ-NEPA
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2018 11:03 AM
To: FN-CEQ-NEPA <b>](mailto:)

CEQ075FY18150_000002188
Federal NEPA Contacts,

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) is extending the public comment period on the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM), which was originally scheduled to close on July 20, 2018, through August 20, 2018. CEQ is making this change in response to public requests for an extension of the comment period. The notice of the extension of the ANPRM is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register tomorrow, July 11, 2018. The pre-publication version of the notice is attached to this email and available here.

Sincerely,

Michael Drummond
Deputy Associate Director for NEPA
Council on Environmental Quality
U.S.C. 505, Lawrence Fenster is designated as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this proceeding.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than September 5, 2018.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Lawrence Fenster to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.
I. Background

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq., was enacted in 1970. NEPA states that “it is the continuing policy of the Federal Government, in cooperation with State and local governments, and other concerned public and private organizations, to use all practicable means and measures, including financial and technical assistance, in a manner calculated to foster and promote the general welfare, to create and maintain conditions under which man and nature can exist in productive harmony, and fulfill the social, economic, and other requirements of present and future generations of Americans.” 42 U.S.C. 4331(a). NEPA also established CEQ as an agency within the Executive Office of the President. 42 U.S.C. 4332.

By Executive Order (E.O.) 11514, “Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality” (March 5, 1970), President Nixon directed CEQ in Section 3(h) to issue “guidelines to Federal agencies for the preparation of detailed statements on proposals for legislation and other Federal actions affecting the environment, as required by section 102(2)(C) of the Act.” CEQ published these guidelines in April of 1970 and revised them in 1973.

President Carter issued E.O. 11991 (May 24, 1977), “Relating to Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality,” which amended Section 3(h) of E.O. 11514 to direct CEQ to issue regulations providing uniform standards for the implementation of NEPA, and amended Section 2 of E.O. 11514 to require agency compliance with the CEQ regulations. CEQ promulgated its “Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act” (CEQ’s NEPA regulations) at 40 CFR parts 1500–1508. 43 FR 55978 (November 29, 1978). Since that time, CEQ has amended its NEPA regulations substantively only once, to eliminate the “worst case” analysis requirement of 40 CFR 1502.22. 51 FR 15618 (April 25, 1986).

On August 15, 2017, President Trump issued E.O. 13807, "Establishing Discipline and Accountability in the Environmental Review and Permitting Process for Infrastructure Projects." 82 FR 40463 (August 24, 2017). Section 5(e) of E.O. 13807 directed CEQ to develop an initial list of actions to enhance and modernize the Federal environmental review and authorization process. In response, CEQ published its initial list of actions pursuant to E.O. 13807 and stated that it intends to review its existing NEPA regulations in order to identify changes needed to update and clarify these regulations. 82 FR 43226 (September 14, 2017).

II. Request for Comment

CEQ requests comments on potential revisions to update and clarify CEQ NEPA regulations. In particular, CEQ requests comments on the following specific aspects of those regulations, and requests that commenters include question numbers when providing responses. Where possible, please provide specific recommendations on additions, deletions, and modifications to the text of CEQ’s NEPA regulations and their justifications.

NEPA Process

1. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure that environmental reviews and authorization decisions involving multiple agencies are conducted in a manner that is concurrent, synchronized, timely, and efficient, and if so, how?

2. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to make the NEPA process more efficient by better facilitating agency use of environmental studies, analysis, and decisions conducted in earlier Federal, State, tribal or local environmental reviews or authorization decisions, and if so, how?

3. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to ensure optimal interagency coordination of environmental reviews and authorization decisions, and if so, how?

Scope of NEPA Review

4. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations that relate to the format and page length of NEPA documents and time limits for completion be revised, and if so, how?

5. Should CEQ’s NEPA regulations be revised to provide greater clarity to ensure NEPA documents better focus on significant issues that are relevant and useful to decisionmakers and the public, and if so, how?

6. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to public involvement be revised to be more inclusive and efficient, and if so, how?

7. Should definitions of any key NEPA terms in CEQ’s NEPA regulations, such as those listed below, be revised, and if so, how?

   a. Major Federal Action
   b. Effects
   c. Cumulative Impact
   d. Significantly
   e. Scope;
   f. Other NEPA terms

   8. Should any definitions of key NEPA terms, such as those noted below, be added, and if so, which terms?
a. Alternatives;
b. Purpose and Need;
c. Reasonably Foreseeable;
d. Trivial Violation; and
e. Other NEPA terms.

9. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to any of the types of documents listed below be revised, and if so, how?
a. Notice of Intent;
b. Categorical Exclusions

c. Environmental Assessments;
d. Findings of No Significant Impact; e. Environmental Impact Statements;
f. Records of Decision; and
g. Supplements.

10. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to the timing of action be revised, and if so, how?
11. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to agency responsibility and the preparation of NEPA documents by contractors and project applicants be revised, and if so, how?
12. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to programmatic NEPA documents and their purpose be revised, and if so, how?
13. Should the provisions in CEQ’s NEPA regulations relating to the appropriate range of alternatives in NEPA reviews and which alternatives may be eliminated from detailed analysis be revised, and if so, how?

General
14. Are any provisions of the CEQ’s NEPA regulations currently obsolete? If so, please provide specific recommendations on whether they should be modified, rescinded, or replaced.

15. Which provisions of the CEQ’s NEPA regulations can be updated to reflect new technologies that can be used to make the process more efficient?
16. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to promote coordination of environmental review and authorization decisions, such as combining NEPA analysis and other decision documents, and if so, how?
17. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the implementation of NEPA, and if so, how?
18. Are there ways in which the role of tribal governments in the NEPA process should be clarified in CEQ’s NEPA regulations, and if so, how?
19. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations should be revised to ensure that agencies apply NEPA in a manner that reduces unnecessary burdens and delays as much as possible, and if so, how?
20. Are there additional ways CEQ’s NEPA regulations related to mitigation should be revised, and if so, how? (Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4332, 4342, 4344 and 40 CFR parts 1500, 1501, 1502, 1503, 1505, 1506, 1567, and 1568)

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under E.O. 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” 58 FR 51735 (October 4, 1993), this is a “significant regulatory action.” Accordingly, CEQ submitted this action to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under E.O. 12866 and any changes made in response to OMB recommendations have been documented in the docket for this action. Because this action does not propose or impose any requirements, and instead seeks comments and suggestions for CEQ to consider in possibly developing a subsequent proposed rule, the various statutes and executive orders that normally apply to rulemaking do not apply in this case. If CEQ decides in the future to pursue a rulemaking, CEQ will address the statutes and executive orders applicable to that rulemaking at that time.

Mary B. Neumayer,
Chief of Staff, Council on Environmental Quality.

[FR Doc. 2018-13246 Filed 6-19-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3225-PR-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

41 CFR Part 105-60

[GSPMR Case 2016-105-1; Docket No. 2016-0004, Sequence No. 1]

RIN 3000-AJ74

Public Availability of Agency Records and Informational Materials

AGENCY: Office of Administrative Services (OAS), General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The General Services Administration (GSA) is issuing a proposed rule to amend its regulations implementing the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). The regulations are being revised to update and streamline the language of several procedural provisions and to incorporate certain changes brought about by the amendments to the FOIA under both statutory and nonstatutory authorities. This rule also amends the

CSA’s regulations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) to incorporate certain changes made to the FOIA by the FOIA Improvement Act of 2016. Additionally, the regulations are being updated to reflect developments in case law, executive guidance from the Department of Justice’s Office of Information Policy, technological advancements in how the FOIA is administered, and to include current cost figures to be used in calculating and charging fees. Finally, the revisions increase the amount of information that members of the public may receive from the Agency without being charged.

DATES: Interested parties should submit written comments to the Regulatory Secretariat Division at one of the addresses shown below on or before August 20, 2018 to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in response to GSPMR case 2016-105-1 by any of the following methods:

• Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for “GSPMR Case 2016-105-1”. Follow the instructions provided on the screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “GSPMR Case 2016-105-1” on your attached document.

• Mail: General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), ATTN: Ms. Lois Mandell, 1800 F Street NW, 2nd Floor, Washington, DC 20405.

Instructions: Please submit comments only via the GSPMR Case 2016-105-1. In all correspondence related to this case, all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check www.regulations.gov, approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Travis S. Lewis, Director of GSA, OAS, Freedom of Information Act and Records Management Division, at 202-219-3078 via email at travis.lewis@ gsa.gov for clarification of content. For information pertaining to status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat Division at 202-501-4755. Please cite GSPMR Case 2016-105-1.