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Gap #1: Technologies that can provide 24/7/365 reliable electricity to complement seasonally variable renewables and take up minimal space.


Area Required to Generate the Energy Needed to Serve the Eastern Interconnect by Generation Technology (Electricity, Oil, and Gas)

- Nuclear (531 m²)
- Solar PV (100.815 m²)
- Onshore Wind (828.748 m²)
- Offshore Wind (980.887 m²)

CATF/Lucid Catalyst: Spatial requirements for different electric generation sources to provide total energy to Eastern US
Gap #2: Zero carbon fuel substitute for oil and gas

- Marine Shipping
- Heavy Trucking
- Process Heat
- Ironmaking
- Space Heating
- Load Following Power
Option: CCS for industry and zero carbon gas power
Option: Advanced, fast-to-deploy, manufacturable nuclear fission energy
**Option: Deep superhot geothermal**

*Superhot rock geothermal* (SHR) is geothermal everywhere: competitive, energy dense, dispatchable/firm zero carbon power with hydrogen production, though deep drilling to” superhot “conditions >400C producing 10X energy per well.

---

**Figure 1**
Commercial geothermal systems are currently limited to the red or dark orange zones in continental areas on the map above. SHR could extend geothermal to much of the rest of the world. (Davies 2013)
Option: Lower cost hydrogen and ammonia from natural gas, nuclear, and renewables
From R and D to large scale deployment
Policy design principles for deep decarbonization innovation

Innovation requires a suite of policies addressing all stages and factors.

The pool of potential technology options must be expanded through more and better R&D

R&D must begin with the end in mind. Technology designs must be developed with cost reduction, speed of construction and scale of deployment in mind from the beginning.

Commercial demonstration projects must be developed all the way through Nth of a kind. This will allow development costs to spread over more units, and achieve learning by doing.

Expansion stage-focused policies should be simple, certain, and widespread (e.g. investment tax credits for clean technologies with direct pay, contracts for differences etc).

Creating supporting ecosystems. Ecosystem issues cut across technologies: the ability to physically access existing infrastructure as well as have clear regulatory and commercial rules that facilitate market access; issues related to equity, employment, and economic development are also relevant. To address this range of issues, policy efforts need to move from beyond thinking about project or technology policies to systems planning.

Innovation must be approached as a global effort. US is an innovation engine, but the solutions that will matter need to scale globally.

Rethinking business models is part of innovation. US policy needs to not just address "kit"
Cross-cutting commercial and deployment needs: the need for planning and spatial awareness

This transition will require an unprecedented buildout of clean energy generation and transmission, as well as CCS and hydrogen transport and storage.

It is unlikely that this buildout will successfully occur project-by-project on a bottom-up basis.

There needs to be support for risk-informed system spatial and sequential planning, building public awareness and buy-in, including economic development incentives.

- This is an essential predicate to any “permitting reform”

We need support for systematic re-use of existing energy and non-energy brownfield sites, consistent with equity and environmental justice goals.

Targeted RD&D of innovative transmission approaches (reconductoring, undergrounding, corridor re-purposing, superconducting) could also be helpful.

Source: Larson et al, Princeton Net Zero America Project
Carbon carbon and storage (CCS) policy priorities

Getting to commercialization of CCS is the key next step.

Higher 45Q credit values for CCS and Direct Air Capture are needed for deployment, along with direct pay and a 2030 commence construction window (all included in the Build Back Better Act)

Continued and consistent funding for the first 4 or 5 new projects of a kind, not just the first one

Further dedicated investment in CO2 transport and storage, including Basin Scale Management and a strategic plan for offshore storage
Superhot geothermal policy priorities

Commercialization requires investment in demonstrations, laboratory support, and rapid learning from drilling many wells.

- Establish a dedicated program, including a national laboratory, focused on SHR geothermal research and development and provide at least $30 million per fiscal year for 5 years
- Provide at minimum $70 million per fiscal year to support SHR power production demonstrations

Plasma drill prototype
Advanced nuclear fission priorities

Advanced fission has substantial promise to aid climate and energy access, but costs need to come down dramatically, and deployment sped up from 10 GW globally to 100+ GW/year (moving from “projects” to commoditized “products”)

**R and D**
- Focus on pathways to significantly reduce nuclear costs and schedule – consider a cost target
- Explore potential to repower/reuse existing coal and other energy sites
- Focus on multi-sector applications such as hydrogen production, industrial heat; work with adjacent industries
- Continued R&D investment in high-assay low-enriched uranium and other waste reprocessing or transformation pathways
- Strategic and accelerated low dose radiation health research

**Demonstration**
- Prioritize designs with inherent capability and a clear line of sight to low cost in each application
- Support multiple builds of designs, not just “first of a kind,” with declining federal cost share

**Deployment**
- Support for construction of large scale “Gigafactory” manufacturing facilities
- Direct pay ITC/PTC for advanced nuclear
- Federal “contracts for differences” to reduce market risks
- Expand ability to use federal power purchase agreements, expand beyond current ten year limit
- Expand tax advantaging and other support for redevelopment at existing coal plant sites

**Infrastructure/ecosystem**
- Continue to support risk-informed licensing at Nuclear Regulatory Commission suitable for advanced designs
- Reset on nuclear waste: determine requirements for consent-based siting
Zero carbon fuels priorities

The regional clean hydrogen hubs program of the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act provides a critical flexible, versatile vehicle for end-to-end demonstration of zero-carbon fuels technologies and systems at commercial scale. This program should be expanded from the current $8B authorization (for 4 hubs) to at least $15B (for 6 or more hubs) to allow additional technologies, industries, and geographies. Once expanded, the program could work synergistically with specific RD&D including items such as those below:

Incentives for broad production of zero-carbon fuels such as a hydrogen production tax credit

A program to demonstrate substantial steam extraction volumes from an existing operating commercial nuclear reactor and use in a co-located high(er) temperature electrolysis system

Marine sector decarbonization would substantially benefit from a program to refine ammonia-fired reciprocating engine technology for shipping applications, including NOx control and ammonia slip prevention, as well as shipboard fueling systems and bunkering technology, and to demonstrate these in commercial operation on at least one Pacific and one Atlantic shipping route (e.g., LA-Singapore and Houston-Rotterdam)

Funding for initial hydrogen fuel-cell heavy truck production and deployment, with fueling infrastructure, in several key US markets (port-area drayage and high-traffic corridors such New York – Chicago)

A program to develop and demonstrate in commercial operation “single-digit” dry low-NOx combustion systems for utility gas turbines and industrial furnaces burning very high hydrogen-content (> 90%) fuels that don’t rely on external diluent (e.g., no water, steam, nitrogen, or CO2 addition)