



**EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503**

August 4, 2026
(Senate)

STATEMENT OF ADMINISTRATION POLICY

S.J. Res. 187 – Providing for Congressional Disapproval of the Rule Submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency relating to "Modification to the Start of the Submission Period for Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Reporting and Recordkeeping Under TSCA 8(a)(7)."

(Sen. Whitehouse, D-RI)

The Administration strongly opposes passage of S.J. Res. 187, which would disapprove a rule submitted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) relating to "Modification to the Start of the Submission Period for Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) Reporting and Recordkeeping Under TSCA 8(a)(7)."

On April 13, 2026, the EPA finalized an extension of the reporting period for Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) section 8(a)(7). The TSCA 8(a)(7) PFAS Reporting Rule requires any person that manufactures or imports PFAS or PFAS-containing items since January 1, 2011, to report information regarding PFAS uses, production volumes, disposal, exposures, and hazards to EPA. The original submission period was scheduled to begin on November 12, 2024, with the EPA issuing two extensions due to information technology development delays and the need for additional time to consider public comments on EPA's November 13, 2025 proposed rule to modify the TSCA 8(a)(7) PFAS reporting regulations.

This 2026 EPA rule starts the data submission period for the PFAS Reporting Rule on January 31, 2027, or 60 days after the effective date of a new final rule, whichever is earlier. A finalized start date for this rule gives companies clear direction and accountability, while allowing additional time for the EPA to review the thousands of public comments on the November 2025 proposed updates. The Administration supports EPA's goal to refine the rule to better deliver timely, actionable reporting guidance without unnecessary loopholes that could delay health-protective decisions.

If S.J. Res. 187 were presented to the President, his advisors would recommend that he veto it.

* * * * *